

ACTT Steering Committee Agenda
03/14/19
11:00am - 2:00pm
Turnpike Turkey Lake - Rm 3001

1. Proposed Boiler Plate Changes (Larry Ritchie)

a. Approval was delayed due to FHWA Approval

b. Proposed Changes

i. Tolling requirements

- Should we have sprinkled throughout documents where it fits or have a specific tolling section?
- GTR -General Tolling Requirements, how to get from Turnpike.
 - Robert - need to explain which version if reference because Turnpike changes frequently and its pretty broad.
 - Ananth - When provide link need to make sure GTR doesn't changes. So, needs to be static.
 - Asked that GTR be sent out to industry for review as there are items in the GTR written by the Turnpike Authority with no input from people who actually build it.
 - Things in GTR that are different from other Expressway Authorities. Specifically toll buildings.
 - Amy - Should we have as an attachment to the RFP and not a link?
 - Yes
 - Robert - RFP Developer needs to address and explain the specific requirements of the GTR they are requiring

Action Items:

1. Look into GTR Review Process and who participates
2. Add GTR as an attachment

ii. Category I and II Bridges

- Pg. 31/62-
- Robert and AJ - reasonable language
- Robert - 30% more a geometric

- iii. Industry Comment Deadline
 - Comments back to Larry in 30 days from Industry
 - Want to get RFP published more in line with Spec Book

- iv. Deliverable of DVDs and CDs
 - Kathy - would want an FTA or jump drive
 - Ananth - One Drive
 - Pete - should be same as Conventional project
 - Robert - SharePoint site
 - Larry - go to an all-electronic submittal

Action Item:

 - 3. Modify language for electronic submittals

- v. As-Built Sets
 - Pg. 33/62
 - Larry - condition to final acceptance.
 - Pete/Ananth - why not consider as condition of final payment and not acceptance?
 - Rudy - Department makes an offer of final payment and all documents must be submitted within 90 days.
 - Ananth - if not going to get paid then rather get LD rate changed.

Action Item:

 - 4. Larry to review and see if something else could tie to rather than Final Acceptance

- vi. Special Events
 - AJ - need to selective about big events and be careful about dragging jobs on.
 - Ananth - dates need to be specified not just generic dates floater dates.

- vii. Public Involvement Consultant
 - Does this create issue for DB firms?
 - Pete -DB Firms can hire but message from the Dept. has been the Dept. wanted to be the voice.
 - AJ - makes sense for Dept. to be lead and DB firm provides roll plots etc.

- viii. Geotechnical Work - Submission of certification
 - Pg. 48/62
 - Pete - needs to be submitted before next sequence of work. It's in the contractor's best interest to get in as soon as possible.
 - Robert - special ground modification.

- ix. Utility Coordination Manager
 - Pg. 49/62
 - Responsibilities on DB team to coordinating
 - Gene - should be on department to issue 30-day letters
 - Robert - DB Firm is monitoring.
 - Pete - puts more of the reporting on DB Firm
 - Larry - report to PA/PM who then get District Utility involved.
 - Ananth -Proactively tells Department they are having issues and then have Department act
 - Gene - don't see an issue except where Department is not acting and need language where says no compensation for delays.
 - #14 not really needed unless utility drops or coordination

- x. Master Signing Plan
 - Pg. 66
 - Kathy - Recommend that FDOT add into the design and if want to come in as a ATC, the contractor can do so. Boilerplate says it will be conceptual. Feels it's a best practice to add into design.
 - AJ - can call conceptual?
 - Kathy - no because not contractual. Needs to be an attachment.
 - Pete - From a timing can come in and propose but then not get approved
 - AJ - setting baseline ok
 - Kathy - if don't fix text size then can affect sign size and cost of structures. Bids can be drastically different.

Action Item:

- 5. Look into removing conceptual language in boilerplate and requiring in design.

2. CSI Threshold (Ananth Prasad)

- Amy - Larry provided data and was in Dave's hands, so ball is still in SCO hands
- Ananth - been on agenda for past year and half need movement. Set a threshold.
- AJ - so unproductive on small issues. Some of these issues have been lingering.
- Pete - everyone tired of small dollar items.
- Tim - Get rid of altogether?
 - Kathy - FHWA involved to. If reducing scope of work, they will be involved and approve.
- Pete - Most CSIs they do are more geometry changes (Short bridges and shorter radius) and only \$200k worth of savings.
- Rudy - Long history of discussion on this. Prior to incorporation of the CSI spec into DB contracts, the Department viewed changes as a credit, no sharing. The incorporation of the CSI spec into DB contracts created a sharing for all changes. The threshold has been \$0. Where to draw the line has always been the issue with establishing a threshold.
- Ananth - Try some pilot projects and do some things different.
- Amy - What percentage is industry looking for?
 - Ananth - 2.5%

Action Items:

6. Amy to review historical data on CSIs and circle back with management.

3. Fuel and AC Adjustment (Ananth Prasad)

- Limit to actual quantity places up to a max of quantity in the Schedule of Values
- Amy - discussed at Spec meeting. Will work on internally.

Action Items:

7. Amy to review with Final Estimates

4. Update on Procurement Process (Shortlisting) (Ananth Prasad)

- Larry - Advertisement Preamble has been updated. Dept. requires 4 key staffing positions and DB firms can pick up to 5 more.
 - Dept. will shortlist 4 highest firms and will receive stipend per requirements per preamble
- Larry - Factored DB how want to handle?

- Ananth - leave as self-elect
 - Larry - Intent would be to only pay top two non-winning firms.
- Robert - not used much and everybody likes the system we have now.

5. Update of TRC Training (Larry Ritchie)

- Larry - went to D2 and talked to TRC members there and related concerns from steering committee
 - D2 brings TRC on early and as RFP being developed and have done job site walk throughs.
 - SCO created a DB "Cradle to Grave" outline in which looking to have a consultant create a series of CBTs and then implement a statewide process similar to D2
 - Robert - Would be good to bring in TRC members early on. Feels bringing in TRC members from other Districts might be helpful?
 - Ananth - Face to face base training would be best and refresher online.
 - Pete- maybe part of course would be having a Design Builder come in and give perspective
 - Larry - Would like to do a DB Academy. Would have to do regionally.

Action Items:

8. Amy and Larry to sit down and review and propose plan to management

6. DB Teams designing to minimum standards and not providing similar products to what may be expected on a conventional design bid build project. (Robert Carballo)

- Robert - Came from regional construction meeting and some department folks said they weren't getting a good product and getting minimum product. So FDOT needs to specify what they want in RFP package.
 - Example was MSE wall in concept design and designer wanted to put slope to R/W line. Thus, shouldn't approve but that isn't an issue about provided minimum requirements.
- Ananth - need to make decisions that up-front decrease capital costs and deal with increased maintenance costs down the road.
 - AJ - Life Cycle cost of maintaining slope versus slope of wall

7. Department concerns as it relates to the quality of the end products and maintainability of the systems proposed. (Robert Carballo)
 - See above

8. DB Teams concerns that approvals of RFC Plans delayed by CEI's and/or reviewers forcing more construction at Risk by DB Teams. (Robert Carballo)
 - Some DB Teams have experienced extended reviews with items being requested or commented on that are not addressed in the RFP requiring extensive time to remove comments that should not have been made to obtain approvals.
 - Pete - submit 90% plans and address and resubmit plans for 100% and then new comments are made and have to go through iterations.
 - Submit 90% and have one set of reviewers and then when go to 100% have an added set of reviewers, which are usually where the additional comments are coming from.
 - Robert - drags on so long can't get an RFC set of plans. One instance was preference issue and spent 80hrs to go away but it wasn't in the RFP.

9. Next ACTT Statewide Meeting
 - July 10th ACTT meeting in Turnpike

Attendees:

1. Amy Tootle (FDOT- CO)
2. Larry Ritchie (FDOT - CO)
3. Rudy Powell (FDOT - CO) on phone
4. Tim Lattner (FDOT - CO) on phone
5. Gene Strickland (Anderson Columbia)
6. Pete Kelley (Superior)
7. Ryan Forrestel (ACEC)
8. Robert Carballo (ACEC)
9. Kathy Thomas (FDOT-D2)
10. Ananth Prasad (FTBA)
11. AJ Demoya (The Demoya Group)