

CENTER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS &  
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW  
Peter A. Schey (Cal. Bar No. 58232)  
Carlos Holguín (Cal. Bar No. 90754)  
256 South Occidental Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90057  
Telephone: (213) 388-8693  
Facsimile: (213) 386-9484  
Email:[pschey@centerforhumanrights.org](mailto:pschey@centerforhumanrights.org)  
[crholguin@centerforhumanrights.org](mailto:crholguin@centerforhumanrights.org)

*Listing continues on next page*

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION

JENNY LISETTE FLORES, *et al.*,

## Plaintiffs,

V.

MERRICK B. GARLAND, Attorney  
General of the United States, *et al.*,

## Defendants

Case No. CV 85-4544-DMG-AGR<sub>x</sub>

JOINT MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF  
SETTLEMENT AND APPROVAL  
OF CLASS NOTICE OF  
SETTLEMENT

[Doc. ## 572, 576]

### Hearing: To be set by the Court

[HON. DOLLY M. GEE]

111

1 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs continued:*

2  
3 USF SCHOOL OF LAW IMMIGRATION CLINIC  
4 Bill Ong Hing (Cal. Bar No. 61513)  
5 2130 Fulton Street  
6 San Francisco, CA 94117-1080  
7 Telephone: (415) 422-4475  
8 Email: [bhing@usfca.edu](mailto:bhing@usfca.edu)

9  
10 LA RAZA CENTRO LEGAL, INC.  
11 Stephen Rosenbaum (Cal. Bar No. 98634)  
12 474 Valencia Street, #295  
13 San Francisco, CA 94103  
14 Telephone: (415) 575-3500

15 // /

1 On June 26, 2019, Plaintiffs filed an *Ex Parte* Application for a Temporary  
2 Restraining Order and an Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction and  
3 Contempt Order Should Not Issue (“Plaintiffs’ TRO”). [Doc. # 572].  
4

5 Plaintiffs’ TRO primarily sought the following relief:  
6

7 (1) an immediate inspection of all [U.S. Customs and Border Protection  
8 (“CBP”) facilities in the El Paso and Rio Grande Valley Sectors] by a  
9 public health expert authorized to mandate a remediation plan that  
10 Defendants must follow to make these facilities safe and sanitary, (2)  
11 immediate access to [these facilities] by independent medical  
12 professionals appointed by Plaintiffs’ class counsel or the Court-  
13 appointed [Monitor] who can assess the medical needs of the children  
14 and triage appropriately, . . . (3) deployment of an intensive case  
15 management team to focus on expediting the release of Category 1 and  
16 Category 2 children (as classified in [t]he Trafficking Victims  
17 Protection Reauthorization Act) to alleviate the backlog caused by the  
18 inadequate Office of Refugee [Resettlement] placement array, and (4)  
19 for an Order finding Defendants in contempt of Court, with a suitable  
20 and appropriate remedy to be determined by the Court.  
21

22 In Chambers - Order Re Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Application for a Temporary  
23 Restraining Order and an Order To Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction and  
24

1 Contempt Order Should Not Issue (June 28, 2019), at 1 (“June 28, 2019, Order”),  
2 citing Proposed Order at 4. [Doc. # 572-6]; [Doc. # 576.].  
3

4 On June 28, 2019, this Court ordered the parties to engage in mediation  
5 discussions before Special Master/Independent Monitor Andrea Sheridan Ordin:

6 [P]ursuant to Paragraph D.3 of the Appointment Order and in  
7 accordance with Defendants’ request, the Court REFERS Plaintiffs’ Ex  
8 Parte Application to expedited mediation before the Monitor. In light  
9 of the evidence presented, the Court waives the time periods set forth  
10 in Section D.3. ... The parties shall participate in the mediation process  
11 in good faith. Pending the parties’ mediation, the Court holds the Ex  
12 Parte Application in abeyance.  
13

14 June 28, 2019, Order at 3.  
15

16 Thereafter, the parties engaged in numerous meetings supervised by the  
17 Special Master/Independent Monitor and attended by Dr. Paul Wise, counsel  
18 representing Plaintiffs and Defendants, Defendants’ operational personnel, and  
19 medical experts from both sides.  
20

21 The parties have now reached a settlement agreement (“Agreement”) to  
22 resolve Plaintiffs’ TRO. *See* Exhibit 1 filed herewith.<sup>1</sup> The parties are submitting  
23

---

24  
25  
26  
27  
28 <sup>1</sup> In the poster that is attached as Exhibit 1 to the parties’ Agreement filed herewith, the parties  
agree that before posting, they will amend the text that now reads “visit family members in  
custody” to read “remain with or visit family members in custody.”

1 this joint motion for preliminary approval of the Agreement, along with a class  
2 notice to inform *Flores* class members of the proposed Agreement. *See* Exhibit 2  
3 filed herewith. This motion is submitted without a hearing date so the Court may, in  
4 its discretion, decide whether it wishes to conduct a hearing to address preliminary  
5 approval of the Agreement.  
6

7 As detailed in the Agreement, the parties have agreed on the manner in which  
8 the Government will comply with the requirements of paragraphs 11 and 12A of the  
9 *Flores* Settlement Agreement (“FSA”), mandating that class members be housed in  
10 safe and sanitary conditions with particular regard for the vulnerability of minors,  
11 within the Rio Grande Valley and El Paso Sectors of the U.S. Border Patrol. The  
12 Agreement provides, in part, that the Government shall ensure that CBP facilities in  
13 the Rio Grande Valley and El Paso Sectors, provide class members access to toilets,  
14 sinks, showers, hygiene kits, drinking water, age-appropriate meals and snacks,  
15 medical evaluations and appropriate medical treatment, clothing and blankets,  
16 caregivers, adequate supervision to protect minors from others, adequate  
17 temperature control and ventilation, and provides that class members apprehended  
18 with adult family members (including non-parents or legal guardians) remain with  
19 or, at a minimum, have contact with their family members during their time in CBP  
20 custody.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1       The parties acknowledge that the Agreement does not include provisions  
2 relating to Plaintiffs' claim that "Defendants do not make and record efforts aimed  
3 at the prompt release of minors or their placement in licensed facilities set forth in  
4 Plaintiff's TRO application," and arguments set forth therein [Doc. # 572-1 at  
5 Paragraph H, pp. 12-13, 20.]. By this Agreement, Plaintiffs do not waive their right  
6 to bring these claims in a future action.  
7  
8

9           Consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), the parties submit the  
10 following joint proposal for providing notice of the Agreement to *Flores* class  
11 members:  
12  
13

14           The proposed Class Notice is attached as Exhibit 2. Within five (5) days of an  
15 Order from this Court approving the attached Notice, Plaintiffs shall provide to  
16 Defendants a copy of the approved Notice in English and Spanish. Within twenty  
17 (20) days of the date of the Order, Defendants shall post copies of the approved  
18 Notice in English and Spanish at all CBP facilities in the Rio Grande Valley and El  
19 Paso sectors of the U.S. Border Patrol. Defendants shall post the Notice in areas  
20 where class members and their accompanying adult relatives can see the Notice.  
21 Defendants shall notify Plaintiffs' counsel in writing when the Notices have been  
22 posted and of the facilities where they were posted and the locations where they were  
23 posted within each facility. The notice period shall be thirty (30) days in duration.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1       Fifty (50) days after the date of the Order, Plaintiffs' counsel shall file and  
2 serve any objections received, redacted as appropriate, or notify the Court that no  
3 objections have been received.  
4

5       Sixty (60) days after the date of the Order, Plaintiffs and Defendants shall file  
6 a joint report regarding any objections received from class members during the  
7 period for the submission of objections. The parties' joint submission will include a  
8 proposed schedule for final approval of the Agreement as well as a proposed order  
9 approving the Agreement and dismissing with prejudice Plaintiffs' TRO [Doc. #  
10 572], with the exception of Paragraph II.H in Plaintiffs' TRO application alleging  
11 that Defendants do not make and record efforts aimed at the prompt release of minors  
12 or their placement in licensed facilities. [Doc. # 572-1 at 12-13, 20.]  
13

14       A proposed order is being filed herewith.  
15

16       ///  
17

18       ///  
19

20       ///  
21

1 Dated: May 20, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

2  
3 /s/ Peter Schey  
4 PETER SCHEY  
5 Center For Human Rights &  
Constitutional Law

6 BILL ONG HING  
7 USF School Of Law Immigration  
Clinic

8  
9 STEPHEN ROSENBAUM  
10 La Raza Centro Legal, Inc.  
11 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

12 /s/ Sarah B. Fabian  
13 SARAH B. FABIAN  
14 Senior Litigation Counsel  
15 U.S. Department of Justice  
Office of Immigration Litigation  
16 *Attorneys for Defendants*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**  
**CASE NO. CV 85-4544-DMG (AGRx)**

I certify that on May 21, 2022, I served a copy of the foregoing pleading on all counsel of record by means of the District Court's CM/ECF electronic filing system.

/s/ Peter Schey  
**PETER SCHEY**