



Judge Resources found in the Member Dashboard

This week let's take a look at application of penalties and consequences. This fits into the Knowledgeable > knowing rules and their intent competency. The first resource we will offer this week is a Webinar on Penalties and Consequences which will be hosted online Saturday April 25th at 7pm ET. Registration is open to current USA Archery Judges and is limited to the first 70 judges. Registration is available through the [USA Archery Membership Services Database](#) under courses.

We also recommend reviewing the [World Archery Rule Book 3 Chapter 15](#) and the [Judges Guidebook](#) sections 4.18, 4.19, 4.20, and 6.3.

As part of the resources for this week, we would like to introduce a case study for review. The answer to the case study will be provided in next week's *At Home* Judge Resources.

Case Study:

During an elimination match, Archer A's bow broke after shooting one arrow and he shot the remaining two arrows in the end with a different bow that was tuned with a different set of arrows that had different color fletching. The first arrow scored a 9 and the next two arrows scored a 10 and an 8. During scoring of the end, Archer B called a judge over to score Archer A's arrows. The judge scored the end as 10, 8, M since the odd arrow didn't have the same color fletches as the other two arrows. Archer A files a protest explaining he should have scored a 10, 9, 8 on the end. You are on the jury of appeals, what is the best response to this protest?

- A. Nothing, the original decision should stand. The penalty for shooting different arrows is to take away that arrow.
- B. Archer A should lose the highest scoring arrow and score a 9, 8, M for not following the rules.
- C. Archer A should not lose any arrow value and should score a 10, 9, 8 as there is no unfair advantage.
- D. Archer A should be disqualified for breaking the rules.
- E. Nothing, arrow values are not something that can be protested.