



Judge Resources found in the Member Dashboard

This week let's take a look at the Application > Applies Rules Fairly and Firmly competency. For judges, this week, we recommend reviewing section 4 of the World Archery [Judges Guidebook](#) and [World Archery Rule Book 3 Chapter 12](#).

As part of the resources for this week, we would like to introduce a new case study for review. The answer to last week's case study is provided at the end, and as a reminder each week's case study will be provided in next week's *At Home* Judge Resources.

Application > Applies Rules Fairly and Firmly Resources:

[Judges Guidebook](#)

[World Archery Rule Book 3 Chapter 12](#)

This Week's Case Study:

During an elimination round an archer lets down their bow and the arrow falls off the bow and lands near the 3m line. It appears the arrow shaft is entirely passed the line and the arrow's nock seems to be directly on the 3m line. The archer calls you over to ask if for your advice on what to do. Which option best describes what you would tell the archer?

- A. You would tell the archer that the arrow is considered a shot arrow since the shaft is passed the 3m line.
- B. You would tell the archer that the arrow is considered a shot arrow since the nock is touching the 3m line.
- C. You tell the archer that you will handle it like an equipment failure and wait till shooting has commenced for the end, check the position and then decide. If you decide that the arrow is not passed the 3m line you will give the archer 40 seconds to shoot the arrow.
- D. You would tell the archer to shoot another arrow as soon as possible before the time limit ends because based on your vantage point the arrow is considered not shot.
- E. You would tell the archer to shoot another arrow because they have nothing to lose.

Last Week's Case Study

During an elimination match, Archer A's bow broke after shooting one arrow and he shot the remaining two arrows in the end with a different bow that was tuned with a different set of arrows that had different color fletching. The first arrow scored a 9 and the next two arrows scored a 10 and an 8. During scoring of the end, Archer B called a judge over to score Archer A's arrows. The judge scored the end as 10, 8, M since the odd arrow didn't have the same color fletches as the other two arrows. Archer A files a protest explaining he should have scored a 10, 9, 8 on the end. You are on the jury of appeals, what is the best response to this protest?

- A. Nothing, the original decision should stand. The penalty for shooting different arrows is to take away that arrow.
- B. Archer A should lose the highest scoring arrow and score a 9, 8, M for not following the rules.
- C. Archer A should not lose any arrow value and should score a 10, 9, 8 as there is no unfair advantage.**
- D. Archer A should be disqualified for breaking the rules.
- E. Nothing, arrow values are not something that can be protested.