

Legislative Questions to Ministers of the B.C. Government

PCR Tests and statistics

1. One of the most important pieces of information in relation to COVID-19 testing, is the PCR test. The accuracy of the test depends on the number of cycles being used, where the higher the cycles, the more likely the result of false positives.
 - a. Why then, does the Minister of Health not include the vital PCR cycle threshold (count) that his health officials rely upon, with a positive test result, to permit those alleged to be infected to make proper health decisions and to know if the results are even accurate?
 - b. Exactly how many cycles is the Ministry using in its PCR tests at present, and has this been consistent from the beginning, or has the Ministry used different numbers of cycles in the past, and if so, how many?
 - c. When the Ministry uses the PCR test, what exactly is being tested for? Are the persons doing the tests checking for isolated viruses, or only partial gene sequences, and if just gene sequences, where did these gene sequences originate?
 - d. Why has the Minister not fully informed the public of exactly how the PCR test works, its limitations and error rates?
2. This Government admits to using 35-40 cycles in its PCR testing operations. The inventor of this test, Kary Mullis, repeatedly stated PCR was not to be used for diagnostic purposes. Harvard Professor Dr. Mina and thousands of other epidemiologists and molecular biologists unequivocally have stated that PCR has a 100% test rate at only 17 cycles and should never be used over 25 cycles. PCR becomes more unreliable to the point at 35 cycles, as one B.C. molecular biologist has stated, that they are not finding live viruses but only fragments of dead viruses, including other corona viruses, with up to 90% false positives at this number of cycles. This would drastically reduce all infections and deaths by similar amounts.
 - a. How can this Government justify using this PCR test at such high cycles, when every credible scientist in the world, including the inventor, has repeatedly stated that the test is completely unreliable at the level of cycles this Government is using?
 - b. Has the Minister of Health done any personal investigation of his health “experts” and officials to ask them why they continue to use PCR at such erroneous levels?
3. Elon Musk tested positive and negative twice each for COVID-19 in one day. People who have had the common cold in the past, are testing positive for COVID-19, and never develop symptoms. The PCR Test has been recognized as “scientifically meaningless” and with an error rate of between 50-97%. How can this Government continue to impose such Constitutional rights and freedoms restrictions and societal changes, on the basis of results from a test that is documented by scientific experts the world over, including its inventor Kary Mullis, as being completely unsuitable for this activity?

4. Why are businesses permitted to discriminate by hiring or not hiring only those who agree to take the PCR test and with negative results, when the test is no better than a flip of a coin?
5. Many questions have been asked of authors of various studies on COVID-19 purporting to claim that the electron microscope pictures show this alleged virus. None of the authors, upon being asked, were able to confirm this. Does the Minister have any 100% medical proof that the PCR test is not identifying a flu or similar type of virus? If so, can he produce this proof.
6. Why does the Minister of Health and Bonnie Henry constantly advocate statistics based on the Case Fatality Rate, or the number of people who have died per the number of reported cases, when he should be reporting the Infection Fatality Rate, or the number of people who have died after being infected?
7. Gov't statistics show that the total death rate for 2020 is almost the same as in the past five years. The Infection Fatality Rate of this virus, based on Gov't statistics, shows that it is virtually the same as a bad flu virus year. How does the Minister justify all the lockdowns and restrictions, and rights/freedoms deprivations where the facts do not support any claim that there is a pandemic, and we have never had any such restrictions for even the worst of flu seasons?
8. Flu virus statistics have plummeted and doctors have been instructed to report on the Certificates of Death, everyone who dies with the virus, as being recorded of dying because of the virus, a very misleading practice. This has falsely and incorrectly inflated the death statistics. Why is the Minister permitting this improper reporting of deaths?
9. Now that it is established that the total number of deaths in B.C. are on par with past years, the improper reporting of deaths, and the complete scientifically accepted unreliability of the PCR test, how can the Minister of Health and Public Safety continue to justify these lockdowns and restrictions, and continue to sow unsupported fear in the minds of British Columbians?

Vaccines

10. Historically, it has taken about seven (7) years for a vaccine to go through testing and reach the market. There has never been a vaccine for any coronavirus, including the common cold. The present vaccines have been rolled out in less than six (6) months. Most vaccines are DNA based: these vaccines are the first mRNA vaccines to be tested.
 - a. With these documented facts in mind, why is this Government promoting vaccines that are still in the experimental stage, and that have been and continue to be only experimented upon humans?
 - b. What steps has this Government taken, other than blind reliance on the Federal Government, to ensure that these vaccines are safe and effective, in only six months?
11. Side effects, including death, paralysis and seizures from use of vaccines as directed, are so well documented that it is not even a debatable issue.

- a. Why has this Minister agreed to permit these billion dollar pharmaceutical corporations to be immune from liability for the use of their products – even as directed?
12. The B.C. Government and other Governments have admitted that the experimental vaccines being introduced into Canadian society, will not eliminate or reduce masking or social distancing. Why is the vaccine being promoted if it does not reduce the alleged social effects of the virus?

Mask requirements

13. Why is the Minister of Public Safety making decisions to issue mask orders on a recommendation from Bonnie Henry, that she publicly admitted was not an independent recommendation pursuant to s. 66 of the *Public Health Act*, but was only as a result of lobbying from the retail and business sectors, not on any scientific basis?
 - a. There are hundreds of scientific studies, confirming that masks do not prevent viral transmission. The physics is clear: the virus at .125 microns in size, is far too small to be stopped by the masks. Also, even when worn correctly, there are gaps between the mask and the face permitting viral transmission. Physics, like math, doesn't lie. Why is the Minister ordering masks to be worn when the physics and studies confirm, that they do not prevent viral transmission and it is physically impossible for them to do so?
 - b. The Minister of Health is recommending people wear two masks. Again, physics does not lie. The primary result of wearing two masks is a far greater reduction in oxygen intake. Studies have shown that even one mask reduces oxygen supply by approximately 10%, from 21.5% oxygen, to 19.5% oxygen. Why is the Minister now recommending that people reduce their oxygen levels to dangerous levels by wearing two masks?
14. Five years ago, Bonnie Henry gave evidence under oath that masks do not prevent viral transmission of viruses. The laws of physics do not lie – viruses are far too small to be stopped by even the finest masks, they escape around the nose area and underneath, and they escape from touching the masks. Hundreds of scientific RCT studies confirm that masks do not prevent viral transmissions.
 - a. In light of Bonnie Henry's evidence, the laws of physics and the supporting studies, why has the Minister of Public Safety issued an order requiring masks in public spaces, when they clearly do nothing to prevent viral transmission?
 - b. If a person has the virus, or any virus, the time spent eating in a restaurant without wearing a mask will transfer the virus to the entire building via ventilation and normal movement of air from people walking and doors opening. Why has the Minister invoked such stupid and unreasonable measures for mask use, such as having to wear a mask into a restaurant and to the table, but can then take it off?
15. If the purpose of wearing masks is to prevent viral transmission, then clearly used or disposed of

masks will contain live viruses and bacteria. Why are stores, malls, employers and other public and private areas, not required to provide bio-hazardous waste disposal areas for people's used masks?

- a. What happens to all these used and disposed of masks?

Health issues

16. Why does the Minister on a weekly basis, provide to the public the Case Fatality Rate instead of the much more accurate Infection Fatality Rate, when Bonne Henry has admitted that there is at least eight (8) times the number of reported cases in B.C., and thus the Infection Fatality Rate is much lower, similar to maybe a bad flu season?
17. Statistics show that the flu virus infection rate has dropped off considerably since COVID. This is unprecedented. Can the Minister explain why clearly, flu infections are being recorded as COVID infections?
18. At the onset of the Cov-19 last year why were there were no preventive measures in place, like announcements how to strengthen the immune system, exercising, healthy eating, taking Vitamin C, D, Zinc etc.?
19. Health statistics show that presently, there has been a significant increase in the number of suicides, drug overdoses and related health tragedies since the Government instituted the lockdowns, restrictions and masks orders.
 - a. Why is the Minister so strongly recommending vaccination to an alleged viral infection that has the same Infection Mortality Rate as the flu, pursuant to its own statistics?
20. Did this Government at any time do any form of a risk calculation analysis, even to the present, to determine the demonstrable harms likely to be caused by these Orders compared to the alleged benefits thought to be gained, including any costs analysis
21. This Government in the spring, told British Columbians that the lockdown measures were in large part, to prevent our hospitals from being overrun with patients, outstripping their capacity to care for them all. In doing so, this Government cancelled all elective surgeries which remain partially backlogged to the present. Yet there never was anything remotely close to an overrun on the hospitals, which remained virtually empty this entire time. Our hospitals remain virtually empty today.
 - a. Will this Government admit that COVID-19, and even any new strains, similar to new strains of the flu virus every year, is not in anyway a threat to the ability of hospital staff to care for alleged COVID-19 patients?
22. With Government statistics confirming that over 99% of all deaths are from seniors over the age

of 70 with multiple health conditions, why is the Minister not simply focusing on these people and allowing the rest of society to continue as normal?

23. The flu virus causes hundreds of deaths in B.C. every year, directly or indirectly and there has never been a cause for quarantine or masks, or lockdowns. This alleged COVID-19 virus is no more serious than a flu virus for all normal and healthy people. Why is the Government continuing to restrict healthy people in their activities, which is unprecedented in our law?
24. Statistics conclusively show that over 90% of all deaths, are over the age of 70, with multiple pre-existing morbidity conditions. There is no general risk to the public. How can the govt justify reducing and restricting access to medical services, including dental work, when only a small, vulnerable segment of the population has a modestly greater chance of contracting COVID?
25. The Government repeatedly advocates the use of hand sanitizers, especially alcohol based. What studies has this Government done on the effects of the repeated use of hand sanitizers on a daily basis to the skin, via the respiratory system and internally where after it has been absorbed through the skin?
26. B.C. and Canadian death statistics show that the overall death rate in B.C. and Canada, are virtually the same as with the past five years, and certainly within reasonable proximity of previous years. If, as the Minister of Public Health claims there is a pandemic, can he show where the massive amount of body deaths are to support this claim, especially on March 18, 2020 when he first issued his Emergency Order?
27. Since the inception of the Public Health and Emergency Orders, there has been a massive increase in deaths by suicide and other related health issues. It is decisions taken by officials in your department that form the basis of the decisions of the Public Health Officer. I ask the Minister of Health, where does the Minister get the power to determine who lives and who dies, and upon the criteria that determines who lives and who dies?
 - a. Does the Minister take personal responsibility for all the massive increase in suicides, drug overdoses, and related health issues that have arisen directly because of the policies of his Ministry?
28. The Minister of Health has repeatedly stated since the early summer that COVID-19 is not that serious for 80-85% of the population, so the majority of cases are never reported. Why does the Minister of Health and Bonnie Henry constantly advocate statistics based on the Case Fatality Rate, or the number of people who have died per the number of reported cases, when almost no cases are actually reported because they are not serious enough to be reported?
29. Is the Minister of Health going to be keeping accurate and truthful statistics on the type and number of deaths, miscarriages and adverse reactions to the new experimental vaccines being advocated for use by the Government?
 - a. If so, how can members of the public, or myself, obtain copies of this information on an updated basis?

Treatments

30. Up to this point, the Minister has focused exclusively upon vaccines in its response to COVID-19. There are many doctors and scientific peer reviewed studies that support mega doses of Vit C, up to 70+ grams/day, are known to kill all viruses including coronaviruses since the 1940s, especially when administered from the beginning of symptoms. Vitamin C has no toxicity level. Thousands of scientists around the world have advocated using hydroxychloroquine with zinc which also has a proven track record around the world as a potent remedy. Vit D has also been shown to be effective in treating COVID-19. In countries permitting HCQ to be used, such as Costa Rica, Israel, Turkey, India, and Russia, the case fatality rates are the lowest, where countries that ban or discourage HCQ usage, the death rates are the highest, such as the USA, UK, Italy, and France..
- a. Considering that these are scientifically proven methods of treating this virus, why has the Minister and Public Health Officer refused to implement these very effective treatments?
 - b. Why has the Minister of Health completely ignored all treatments for COVID-19 that are not vaccine related? Is it because the Minister and this Government are supportive of only billion dollar pharmaceutical corporations or is the Minister under some legal obligation to do so and if so, what is that legal obligation?

Lockdowns and restrictions

31. Both Bonnie Henry and the Minister of Health repeatedly lay claims to relying upon their “experts” in supporting their drastic orders and restrictions. Exactly how many health “experts” does the Ministry of Health, including Bonnie Henry, rely upon in making their decisions that affect the rights, freedoms and health of all British Columbians?
- a. Can the Minister provide me with a list of these “experts” and their qualifications?
 - b. If not, what specific reasons does the Minister rely upon to refuse to do so?
32. When the Minister of Public Safety issued his emergency order on March 18, 2020, there was less than a dozen deaths in the province, only a hundred of so infections. The Minister stated no facts in his Order to support his claim that there was a pandemic. I ask the Minister, when you issued this Order, all and what specific facts did you rely upon to support your claim that a pandemic existed at that time?
33. If there was a real pandemic, the hospitals would be overflowing from deaths, and the funeral home directors would be complaining about being overwhelmed with all the bodies, yet neither of these are happening. What facts does the Minister have that the hospitals are actually overflowing with deaths or cases with COVID-19, or that the funeral homes have been overwhelmed?
- a. What facts does the Minister have that there may even be a threat to overrunning our hospitals with COVID-19 cases or deaths?

34. What medical/factual situation will be required to exist for this Government to lift all lockdown, face mask wearing, social distancing and other restrictions upon the people of this Province? Is the Province waiting for a perfect situation where there are no cases at all?
35. What studies or tests have been done by the Minister of Health, or Minister of Public Safety or this Government, to determine the efficacy of the lockdown, mandatory face mask, and social distancing, and will the Minister release these studies and their findings, if they exist?
36. There has been no proof or evidence provided that restaurants are a hub for transmission of COVID-19. Despite this, the Government has imposed increasing financial burdens upon these businesses that are disproportionate to the costs borne by larger businesses. Why is the Government using the same measures for all businesses, despite the size of the business?
37. Why are lockdowns and restrictions still being enforced in our stores, restaurants and public spaces, despite facing ongoing criticism by doctors, scientists, and lawmakers, for their devastating impacts on society and admissions that these lockdowns do not have any significant effect of preventing any viral transmission?
38. It has been a year since the emergence of COVID-19 yet the case numbers, despite being improperly reported, have not really decreased despite the restrictions that are becoming stricter. How does the Minister of Health you explain this?

Rights and Freedoms

39. The Church and Christianity have been the foundation of our country since its inception and remain so today, as evidenced by the oath each MLA is required to take when appointed or elected. Considering that there is no Constitutional right to fly, but there are Constitutional rights to worship one's one religion as one sees fit, why can people sit tightly together on a plane, but churches are forced to cancel or so do from remote locations?
40. What does the Minister do with all the names and contact information supplied by patrons to owners of restaurants upon visiting there?
 - a. Is any of this information retained, directly or indirectly by the Government and if so, how is it stored?
 - b. Can the Minister confirm if the police have access to this information and can it be used against a person in a criminal prosecution?
 - c. Can this information be used against a person in a Provincial regulatory prosecution?
41. In making the decisions it has made, including the Emergency Orders of Public Health Minister Mike Farnworth, and Public Health Officer Bonnie Henry (despite not being an elected official), is this Government legally and/or contractually bound in any way, to follow orders, instructions, decrees or other directions from the World Health Organization and if so, to what extent?

42. Throughout history, only those people who have fallen sick to various diseases, have been quarantined. How does the Minister of Health, including on behalf of Bonnie Henry, justify his and her ongoing Constitutional rights and freedoms restrictions upon 99.95% or more of people who are healthy and completely free of this virus?

General

43. Under the present situation, Public Health Officer Bonnie Henry is given wide powers to issue massive lockdown orders, restrictions and even denial of the Constitutional rights and freedoms of British Columbians. Ms. Henry is not an elected official and is not accountable to the people nor the Legislature.
- a. Will the Minister of Attorney General explain how this is Constitutionally permitted that an unelected official can be given such broad and expansive powers to shut our entire province down, and have no accountability to the people in the Legislature?
44. Many countries are now admitting that the recent vaccines do not completely prevent COVID-19 infections. If the vaccination for COVID-19 does not prevent transmission of the Corona virus, how long will the current Public Health Orders and Emergency Orders which mandate mandatory masks, social distancing, and restrictions on gatherings, travel, movements, businesses, schools and public events continue?
45. Presently, there are thousands of reputable and credible scientists from all over the world, in all disciplines, who strongly disagree with this Government's lockdown and restrictions, including mandatory mask requirements. Over 15 000 such scientists have signed the Great Barrington Declaration demanding an end to all of these restrictions.
- a. Why have neither the Minister nor this Government entered into any public debate, nor sought the expert medical advice of these thousands of medical and scientific experts in relation to their emergency lockdown and mask orders?
- b. Can the Minister please provide me with a list of any experts who oppose what this Government is doing that he has contacted and when he had been in contact with them?
46. Why is this Government continuing to censor all Government and medical officials, from publicly discussing their expert views and knowledge on the COVID-19 situation?
- a. Is this Government attempting to silence critics within its own departments from exposing serious errors that this Government has taken?
47. Rebel News was recently given accreditation to attend press conferences in the Alberta Legislature.
- a. Why does this Government not permit or make it almost impossible for legitimate news

agencies such as Rebel News, to be accredited and permitted to attend the regular press conferences by the Public Health Officer Bonnie Henry and Government Ministers?

- b. Is this because the Government simply is trying to prohibit damning questions about its actions from coming on public newscasts?