

.....  
(Original Signature of Member)

119TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# H. R.

To require the Secretary of Energy to study new technologies and opportunities for recycling spent nuclear fuel.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. MOORE of North Carolina introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_

---

# A BILL

To require the Secretary of Energy to study new technologies and opportunities for recycling spent nuclear fuel.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Advancing Research  
5 in Nuclear Fuel Recycling Act”.

6 **SEC. 2. STUDY ON NEW TECHNOLOGIES TO RECYCLE**

7 **SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL.**

8 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1           (1) NATIONAL LABORATORY.—The term “Na-  
2           tional Laboratory” has the meaning given the term  
3           in section 2 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42  
4           U.S.C. 15801).

5           (2) NUCLEAR WASTE.—The term “nuclear  
6           waste” means spent nuclear fuel and high-level ra-  
7           dioactive waste (as defined in section 2 of the Nu-  
8           clear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (42 U.S.C. 10101)).

9           (3) RECYCLING.—The term “recycling” means  
10          the recovery of valuable radionuclides, including  
11          fissile materials, from nuclear waste, and any subse-  
12          quent processes, such as enrichment and fuel fab-  
13          rication, necessary for reuse in nuclear reactors or  
14          other commercial applications.

15          (4) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means  
16          the Secretary of Energy.

17          (5) SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL.—The term “spent  
18          nuclear fuel” has the meaning given the term in sec-  
19          tion 2 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (42  
20          U.S.C. 10101).

21          (b) STUDY.—Not later than 90 days after the date  
22          of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, acting through  
23          the Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Energy, shall carry  
24          out a study—

1           (1) to analyze the practicability, potential bene-  
2           fits, costs, and risks, including proliferation, of using  
3           dedicated recycling facilities to convert spent nuclear  
4           fuel, including spent high-assay low-enriched ura-  
5           nium fuel, into useable nuclear fuels, such as those  
6           for—

7                   (A) commercial light water reactors;

8                   (B) advanced nuclear reactors; and

9                   (C) medical, space-based, advanced-bat-  
10           tery, and other non-reactor applications, as de-  
11           termined by the Secretary;

12           (2)(A) to analyze the practicability, potential  
13           benefits, costs, and risks of recycling spent nuclear  
14           fuel, which is taken from temporary storage sites  
15           throughout the United States, and using it as fuel  
16           or input for advanced nuclear reactors, existing reac-  
17           tors, or commercial applications;

18           (B) to compare such practicability, potential  
19           benefits, costs, and risks of recycling spent nuclear  
20           fuel with the practicability, potential benefits, costs,  
21           and risks of the once-through fuel cycle, including  
22           temporary and permanent storage requirements; and

23           (C) to analyze the practicability, potential bene-  
24           fits, costs, and risks of aqueous (such as PUREX  
25           and the derivatives of PUREX) recycling processes

1 with the practicability, potential benefits, costs, and  
2 risk of non-aqueous (such as pyro-electrochemistry)  
3 recycling processes;

4 (3) to analyze the technical and economic feasi-  
5 bility of utilizing nuclear waste processing to extract  
6 certain isotopes needed for domestic and inter-  
7 national use, including medical, industrial, space-  
8 based power source, and advanced-battery applica-  
9 tions;

10 (4) to analyze the practicability, potential bene-  
11 fits, costs, risks, and potential approaches for cou-  
12 pling or collocating recycling facilities with other  
13 pertinent facilities, such as advanced nuclear reac-  
14 tors (that can use the recycled fuel), interim storage,  
15 and fuel-fabrication facilities, including through—

16 (A) relevant analyses, such as capital and  
17 operating cost estimates, public-private partner-  
18 ships to encourage investment, infrastructure  
19 requirements, timeline to full-scale commercial  
20 deployment, and distinguishing characteristics  
21 or requirements of such facilities;

22 (B) input from interested private tech-  
23 nology developers and relevant assumptions re-  
24 garding cost; and

1 (C) comparison with the practicability, po-  
2 tential benefits, costs, and risks of the once-  
3 through fuel cycle, including temporary and  
4 permanent storage requirements;

5 (5) to identify parties, including individuals,  
6 communities, businesses, and local and Tribal gov-  
7 ernments, that are impacted economically, or  
8 through health, safety, or environmental risks, by  
9 the current practice of indefinite temporary storage  
10 of spent nuclear fuel, and assess potential risks and  
11 benefits for those parties should spent nuclear fuel  
12 be removed from their sites for the purposes of nu-  
13 clear waste recycling;

14 (6) to assess different approaches for siting and  
15 sizing nuclear waste recycling facilities, including a  
16 centralized national facility, regional facilities, on-  
17 site facilities where spent nuclear fuel is currently  
18 stored, and on-site facilities where newly recycled  
19 fuel can be used by an on-site reactor, and rec-  
20 ommend one or more approaches that consider envi-  
21 ronmental, transportation, infrastructure, capital,  
22 and other risks;

23 (7) to identify tracking and accountability  
24 methods for new recycled fuel and radioactive waste  
25 streams for byproducts of the recycling process;

1           (8)(A) to identify any regulatory gaps related to  
2 nuclear waste management and recycling, including  
3 accuracy and consistency of relevant definitions for  
4 radioactive waste (including “high-level radioactive  
5 waste”, “spent nuclear fuel”, “low-level radioactive  
6 waste”, “reprocessing”, “recycling”, and “vitrifica-  
7 tion”) and classifications of radioactive waste that  
8 exist in Federal law on the date of enactment of this  
9 Act;

10           (B) to compare such definitions to those used  
11 by other nations that manage radioactive waste; and

12           (C) to make recommendations for modernizing  
13 such definitions; and

14           (9) to evaluate—

15           (A) potential Federal and State-level policy  
16 changes to support development and deploy-  
17 ment of recycling and waste-utilizing reactor  
18 technologies; and

19           (B) impacts of spent nuclear fuel recycling  
20 on requirements for domestic nuclear waste  
21 storage.

22           (c) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date  
23 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, acting through  
24 the Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Energy, shall submit  
25 to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of

1 the Senate, the Committee on Energy and Commerce of  
2 the House of Representatives, the Committee on Science,  
3 Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives,  
4 and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House  
5 of Representatives, a report that complies with each of the  
6 following:

7 (1) Describes the results of the study carried  
8 out under subsection (b).

9 (2) Is released to the public.

10 (3) Totals not more than 120 pages (excluding  
11 Front Matter, References, and Appendices) written  
12 and formatted to facilitate review by a nonspecialist  
13 readership, including the following sections:

14 (A) A Front Matter section that includes a  
15 cover page with identifying information, tables  
16 of contents, figures, and tables.

17 (B) An Executive Summary section.

18 (C) An Introductory section that includes a  
19 historical overview that also explains why recy-  
20 cling is not performed in the United States  
21 today, such as economic, political, or techno-  
22 logical obstacles.

23 (D) Results and Findings sections that  
24 summarize the results and findings of the study  
25 carried out under subsection (b).

1           (E) A Key Remaining Challenges and Bar-  
2           riers section that identifies key technical and  
3           nontechnical (such as economic) challenges and  
4           barriers that need to be addressed to enable  
5           scale-up and commercial adoption of spent nu-  
6           clear fuel recycling, with preference given to se-  
7           cure, proliferation resistant, environmentally  
8           safe, and economical recycling methods.

9           (F) A Policy Recommendations section  
10          that—

11                 (i) lists policy recommendations to ad-  
12                 dress remaining technical and nontechnical  
13                 (such as economic) challenges and barriers  
14                 to enable scale-up and commercial adop-  
15                 tion of spent nuclear fuel recycling, includ-  
16                 ing with government support;

17                 (ii) contrasts the potential benefits  
18                 and risks of each policy; and

19                 (iii) compares benefits to current or  
20                 past policies.

21           (G) An Other section in which other rel-  
22           evant information may be added.

23           (H) A References section.

24           (I) An Appendices section.