

# Social Agencies Row on S. Madison Unit

By OWEN COYLE  
(Of The Capital Times Staff)

Negotiations among private social welfare agencies on a South Madison multi-service center have apparently foundered on disagreement as to who will operate and control the facility.

A report mailed Monday to directors of the Madison Redevelopment Authority indicates that talks have broken down over

and a new approach is needed. The report, by the MRA staff, specifically cites the Madison Neighborhood Centers, a private agency which has implied its interest in the proposal is contingent on its own rights in the facility.

But minutes of the talks, carried on through last summer and fall, indicate that other unnamed private

agencies are unwilling to provide services in a facility owned and operated by the Neighborhood Centers.

The report is on the agenda for the Wednesday meeting of the MRA board of directors. Mayor Otto Festge has also called a meeting for Friday to discuss the apparent impasse. Robert Corcoran, Festge's administrative assistant, said that Festge is vitally interested in the center and views it as a necessary part of the South Madison urban renewal program.

a dearth of accountability and overall responsibility in the community's social service system."

The neighborhood study also revealed that a third of the South Madison renewal area families were living in poverty and while unemployment was

a problem, under employment was a crucial one. It said the area had a feeling of powerlessness and estrangement from the community.

"Numerous surveys... have raised their expectations; however, failure of the community to deliver these implied prom-

ises has increased their skepticism toward representatives of community agencies."

General area problems, the MRA says, are intensified by race. While Negroes have a higher educational level on the average than whites in South

Madison, they consistently rank below whites in income and occupational level.

The MRA said a University survey reported 46 per cent of the South Madison Negroes said they could not move anywhere they wished in Madison, and another 16 per cent said they

didn't know if they could move freely. Almost two-thirds of the Negroes questioned said they had special problems in Madison. More than half the households in South Madison are non-white. All but one of the non-whites are Negroes.

## Capitol Candids



As the Legislature resumed sessions this week, Tom Barlet, a staff photographer for The Capital Times, caught these candid views of some of the legislators. Here, Assemblyman Alfonsi (R-Minocqua) whose problems have generated some heat recently, takes time out during a recess to generate some smoke.



Assemblyman Earl Warren (D-Racine) (left) studies a paper while Assemblyman Harvey Duehold (D-Luck) listens to proceedings.



Sen. Frank Panzer (R-Brownsville), a veteran legislator, looks into the future while listening to a speech.

## On Sun Prairie Road

### Building Planned for State Patrol Here

The State Motor Vehicle Department will build a new State Patrol District No. 1 headquarters building on Highway 151, the Sun Prairie Road at Interstate 90-94, it was announced today.

The building, on which bids for construction are being accepted, will replace leased quarters in a building located at 4025 Commercial Ave. The new building will also house the area civil defense director.

Identical buildings will also

be constructed at Wausau, Eau Claire, Spooner and Waukesha. Contractors interested in bidding on construction of one or more of the buildings are asked to contact Robert Mayville, State Bureau of Engineering, Room 170, State Office Building, 1 W. Wilson St. in Madison. Bids will be opened Feb. 8. Completion of construction is scheduled for next Aug. 1.

Each building is of one-story design, with full basement which will be engineered for civil defense disaster needs.

Taking part in the Friday meeting will be County Judge Ervin Bruner, representing the county's anti-poverty program; Walter Johnson, representing the Community Welfare Council; Central High School Principal William Marsh, representing the Neighborhood Centers, and Mayor Festge.

The City Council last March directed the MRA to investigate the need and report back to the Council. In its report the MRA said the need can be documented but negotiations reached a dead end in November and no further meetings have been held.

"It is clear," the report says, "that high level leadership will be required to successfully overcome the problems which have, to date, deterred significant progress."

According to the MRA, unless a co-ordinated social welfare program is developed, "the physical renewal program for South Madison will have little, if any, positive significance for the people most directly affected."

To obtain a new start on the proposal, the report suggests Council authorization to prepare a federal grant application for construction of the center and appointment of a committee to work out a program by March 9.

Last year the MRA estimated construction costs of \$300,000. A federal grant would cover two-thirds of the cost.

The center would provide a wide range of social services from employment counseling through legal aids and health and recreational facilities.

The MRA said its survey in South Madison uncovered "a lack of co-ordination, fragmentation of existing services, and

## Officers Solve Traffic Tangle In Snowstorm

Dane County Traffic Officers A. R. Lewis, Paul Horstmeier and David Elver were busy men between 4:30 and 6:30 Monday evening.

The officers were summoned to a hill about three miles south of Nine Springs Hill on Highway 14 because a stock truck had slipped off the highway and into a ditch, blocking hornward bound commuters.

For over two hours, the men fought a traffic back-up that extended for about five miles.

"Because of the blowing snow, you could hardly see five feet in front of you," Lewis, a sergeant on the force, said.

The officers fought the blowing snow to move traffic around the truck. Ordinarily a 10-minute trip from Madison to Oregon, many motorists were stranded for over an hour. The truck was finally freed after the officers worked for nearly two hours.

## Light Engineers

The Central Wisconsin chapter of the Illuminating Engineering Society will meet Wednesday at 8 p.m. in the Downtown Motel, 250 W. Washington Ave. William A. Weibel, senior engineer with Josselyn Manufacturing and Supply Co., will speak on "Significant Developments in Outdoor Lighting."

## Fate Of Two Campuses At Stake

# Leonard-LaFave Struggle Slows Building Unit Choices

By JOHN P. HUNTER  
(Of The Capital Times Staff)

A power struggle between Sen. Jerris Leonard (R-Milwaukee) and some members of his own party over the composition of the State Building Commission has delayed formation of the powerful commission.

The Senate Republican caucus is prepared to endorse the selection of Leonard and Sens. Taylor Benson (D-Franksville) and Reuben LaFave (R-Oconto) to the commission, with the provision that legislation be introduced that could increase the legislative membership from three members in each house to five members.

Under consideration by the Senate caucus for the two proposed extra seats are Sens. Holger Rasmussen (R-Spooner), Chester Dempsey (R-Hartland) and Gordon Roseleip (R-Darlington), according to Sen. LaFave.

Assembly Speaker Harold V. Froehlich (R-Appleton) said he would withhold naming the assembly members to the commission until the Senate solves its bickering.

Froehlich is expected to name a Democrat and two Republicans to the Commission. He is expected to name Assemblyman David Martin (R-Neenah) to the commission as one of the GOP members.

Leonard and LaFave have reached a standoff in the power struggle. Both, together with Sen. Raymond Bice (R-La Crosse) comprise the powerful three-man Senate Committee on Committees which must approve all Senate assignments.

At stake is the fate of the immediate development of the proposed two new University of Wisconsin campuses — one at Green Bay and the other near Kenosha, and of the whole state building program.

LaFave favors prompt development of the two campuses, while Leonard wants to slow down construction.

Froehlich's appointments are certain to bolster the Leonard "go-slow" approach, since Froehlich's conservative philosophy is much more closely aligned to that of Leonard than to LaFave's.

## Student Retreat At Edgewood

The annual student retreat at Edgewood College will be held between semesters, starting at noon Thursday, Jan. 26, and ending at noon Saturday, Jan. 28.

At the request of the student body, the Rev. Gerald Kraus, principal of Aquinas High School, Ft. Madison, Iowa, will be retreat master for the second consecutive year.

Estimated Cost \$1,272,000

## Middleton High School Unit Plans Complete; Bids Feb. 1

Plans and specifications for the approved Middleton High School addition, to cost an estimated \$1,272,000, have been completed and made available to contractors, and bids will be opened Feb. 1 at 4 p.m. at the high school.

Contracts are not expected to be let at that time, Administrator Ray Larson said today, as

the specifications have "seven pages of alternates and bids will take considerable study."

The large number of alternates are being used to "keep the prices down as low as possible," he added. "We are playing this as close to the belt as possible."

Construction of the new school is expected to commence early



Leonard LaFave Dempsey Benson Roseleip Rasmussen Froehlich Bice

## For Failure to File on Time

# County GOP Groups Fined

By IRVIN KREISMAN  
(Of The Capital Times Staff)

The Republican Party of Dane County and the Dane County Federation of Republican Women, both voluntary organizations, were fined \$30 each by Judge William L. Buenzli today on a charge of failing to file financial statements on time.

A similar charge against a third GOP group, the Dane County Republican Party Statutory Committee, was dismissed upon the recommendation of Dist. Atty. Floyd McBurney Jr.

A no contest plea was entered by Atty. E. L. Wingert in behalf of each of the three defendants. Wingert entered the case at the last minute, substitution for Atty. Edward Owens, who is ill.

Carroll E. Metzner, chairman of the Republican Party of Dane County; Mrs. Howard Hodgeson, Route 1, Sun Prairie, chairman of the women's group; and Jack D. Pointer, 5906 Driftwood Ave., chairman of the statutory committee, all were in court.

McBurney told the court that the deadline for filing the statements is one week after the election for the statutory committee, two weeks for the women's group, and 30 days for the Republican Party of Dane County.

He recommended the dismissal in the case of the statutory committee because the law requires that the clerk's office send statement forms which it failed to do. This was confirmed by Agnes Schwoegler, assistant Dane County clerk who is currently on leave of absence. Mrs. Schwoegler, however, added that she thought it would be helpful if the clerk's office were kept informed of the addresses of new officers of the statutory group.

Judge Buenzli, in dismissing the charge, declared he agreed with McBurney's argument.

Each case was argued separately. Wingert asserted that the violations in each instance were technical, that no one was injured by the delays in filing, that there was no intent to violate the law, and that the violations were initiated by former Dist. Atty. Michael B. Torphy Jr., but that charges "laymen without the aid of counsel." He also declared that previous district attorneys had insisted that deadlines be kept.

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

that there was no intent to violate the law, and that the violations were initiated by former Dist. Atty. Michael B. Torphy Jr., but that charges "laymen without the aid of counsel." He also declared that previous district attorneys had insisted that deadlines be kept.

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

that there was no intent to violate the law, and that the violations were initiated by former Dist. Atty. Michael B. Torphy Jr., but that charges "laymen without the aid of counsel." He also declared that previous district attorneys had insisted that deadlines be kept.

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

that there was no intent to violate the law, and that the violations were initiated by former Dist. Atty. Michael B. Torphy Jr., but that charges "laymen without the aid of counsel." He also declared that previous district attorneys had insisted that deadlines be kept.

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and Wingert stated the investigation of, but he did not regard them as serious in the sense of "bribery."

In rejecting the arguments of Wingert, Judge Bueczli pointed out that some deadline had to be enforced, "otherwise nobody would pay any attention to the law."

Both McBurney and