**Scoring Sheet**

**Project Applicant Information:**

* 1. Name of Organization: Name of Program (If applicable):\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  2. Organization Type

Units of Local Government Non-profit 501(c)(3) PHA

State Government Other: Describe

**Section 1: Project Type (No Score)**

|  |
| --- |
| Which Project Type are you applying for?   * **Funding: $60,000 - Supportive Services Only/Coordinated Entry: Oversight of the youth housing Coordinated Entry Process** * **Funding: $200,000 – Rapid Rehousing/Supportive Services: Housing Navigators for Youth & Short Term Housing Funds** * **Funding: $60,000 – Supportive Services Only: Expansion of Services** * **Funding: $340,000 – Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing Joint Component for families** * **Funding: $265,000 – Rapid RRH/PSH for Young Families and Individuals** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 2: Has the agency Passed the Threshold Requirements?** | **YES/NO** |
| **Any necessary information regarding the Threshold Requirements to be considered?** | **No Score** |
| **Section 3: Experience – EACH SUB-SECTION IS WORTH 4 POINTS –** | **\_\_\_\_/20** |
| **Experience and capacity to operate the project**   1. Experience administering a CoC program (1 point) 2. Describes the ability to manage public grants/contracts (2 points)   No experience = 0, Some experience (no federal contract experience) = 1, Federal contract experience = 2   1. Describes the ability to manage a collaborative project and , if applicable, ability to monitor multiples parties performance of services (1 point) | \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/4 |
| **Experience successfully operating similar services**   * + - 1. Experience performing similar activities as those proposed in response to RFP (2 point)   No experience = 0, Some experience = 1, A great deal of experience = 2   * + - 1. Has experience serving YYA or homeless YYA, or serving homeless individuals or families? (2 points)   No experience = 0, Some experience = 1, A great deal of experience = 2 | \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/4 |
| **Data Collection/Reporting Experience**   1. Currently uses a data tracking/reporting system (2 points)   No system = 0, Uses informal system = 1, Uses formalized system = 2   1. Currently participates in HMIS (2 points)   No participation = 0, Limited HMIS participation = 1, Significant HMIS participation = 2 | \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/4 |
| **Fiscal Management Structure**   1. Demonstrates a clear structure for managing basic organizational operations (2 points)   No/inadequate structure = 0, Minimal structure = 1, Clear, adequate structure = 2   1. Has adequate financial accounting systems that are able to manage federal funding (2 points)   No/inadequate systems = 0, Minimal systems = 1, Adequate systems = 2 | \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/4 |
| **Audit – Applicant and Sub-recipients**  Agency audit completed in last 12 months (2 points)  No audit in past 5 years = 0, Audit within past 5 years, but not last year = 1, Audit in past year = 2  Were there any findings from the audit? (Review any findings and/or corrective action plan)  Findings, unresolved = 0, Findings, resolved = 1, No findings = 2 | \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 4: Project Narrative/Approach** | **\_\_\_\_/35** |
| **Youth/Young Adult Voice/Collaboration**   1. Grievance Policy in place (1 point) 2. Person with lived experience on Board or equivalent governance body (2 points) 3. Demonstration of how Youth/young adult voices will be addressed in the following:   Program Development (1 point)  Program Monitoring (1 point)  Program Assessment (1 point)  Program Practice and Policy Development (1 point) | \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/7 |
| **Eligible Populations**   1. Eligible population (s) to be served by the project   Category 1 (2 point)  Category 2 (2 point)  Category 3 - N/A (0 points)  Category 4 (2 point) | \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/0  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/6 |
| **Special Populations Served**   1. Includes a plan to address the needs of special populations   LBGTQ YYA (1 point)  YYA of color (1 point)  Pregnant/Parenting YYA (1 point)  YYA with disability (1 point)  Immigrant YYA (1 point)  Others (1 point)   1. Specific strategies identified to serve special populations (1 point)   No plan to serve special populations = 0, Plan to serve one = 1, plan to serve 2+ = 2, Plan to serve 3 or more = 3 | \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/7 |
| **YHDP Goal(s) & Core Principles**   1. Application effectively demonstrates inclusion of the following:   Housing First  Youth driven Services  Racial Equity  PYD  Stable Housing  Trauma informed Care  Permanent Connections  Social/Emotional Well-being  Education and Employment | \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/9 |
| **Project Requirements**   1. Project description fits with designated project type (SSO, TH-RRH, RRH, PSH) (1 point) 2. Agency able to meet all program requirements (If not, which ones and plan to implement them?)   Unable to meet all, no plan = 0, Unable to meet all, with plan = 1, Able to meet all requirements = 3   1. Clear staffing plan   No staffing plan = 0, Minimal staffing plan = 1, Clear staffing plan = 2 | \_\_\_\_/1  \_\_\_\_/3  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/6 |
| **Exceptions** are clearly identified and an implementation plan is described (if applicable) | YES / NO  No points |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 5: Project Scope/Implementation** | **\_\_\_\_/25** |
| **Geographic Coverage**   1. Will the project serve Franklin County? (3 points)   No = 0, Yes = 3   1. Does the project serve an underserved location? (2 points)   No = 0, Underserved locations served = 2 | \_\_\_\_/3  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/5 |
| **Timeline**   1. Has a plan for Timely Completion of work – 2 year grant (3 points)   No = 0, Yes = 3   1. Is the plan reasonable for the completion of work in the stated timeframe? (2 points)   No = 0, Yes = 2   1. Do they have a plan for rapid implementation (3 months after receiving grant) (3 points)   No = 0, Yes = 3   1. Are relevant agreements/contracts included for project operation (2 points)   No = 0, Yes = 2 | \_\_\_\_/3  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/3  \_\_\_\_/2  \_\_\_\_/10 |
| **Outcomes/Continuous Quality Improvement**   1. Is the project outcomes driven (5 points)   No clear outcomes = 0, Outcomes stated, but not clearly tied to project = 3, Outcomes stated and clearly tied to project = 5   1. Is there a plan for continuous quality improvement? (5 points)   No continuous quality improvement plan = 0, Has plan, but needs improvement = 3 Has clear plan = 5 | \_\_\_\_/5  \_\_\_\_/5  \_\_\_\_/10 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 6: Budget** | **\_\_\_/20** |
| **Budget**   1. The budget is reasonable (5 points)   No budget = 0, Has budget, but not reasonable = 2, Has reasonable budget = 5   1. All proposed costs are reflected in the budget (5 points)   No = 0, Yes = 5   1. Budget for YHDP dollars does not include ineligible expenses (5 points)   No = 0, Yes = 5   1. Match is secured and has documentation (5 points)   No = 0, Has match, but insufficient documentation = 3, Has match and sufficient documentation = 5 | \_\_\_\_/5  \_\_\_\_/5  \_\_\_\_/5  \_\_\_\_/5  \_\_\_\_/20 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Section | Points |
| Section 1: Project Type | No Points |
| Section 2: **Meets Threshold Requirements** | Y/N (IF No, do not move ahead) |
| Section 3:Applicant Experience | \_\_\_\_/20 |
| Section 4:Project Narrative | \_\_\_\_/35 |
| Section 5: Project Scope/ Implementation | \_\_\_\_/25 |
| Section 6: Budget | \_\_\_\_/20 |
| **Score** | **\_\_\_\_/100** |