**Scoring Sheet**

**Project Applicant Information:**

* 1. Name of Organization: Name of Program (If applicable):\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
	2. Organization Type

 Units of Local Government Non-profit 501(c)(3) PHA

 State Government Other: Describe

**Section 1: Project Type (No Score)**

|  |
| --- |
| Which Project Type are you applying for?* **Funding: $60,000 - Supportive Services Only/Coordinated Entry: Oversight of the youth housing Coordinated Entry Process**
* **Funding: $200,000 – Rapid Rehousing/Supportive Services: Housing Navigators for Youth & Short Term Housing Funds**
* **Funding: $60,000 – Supportive Services Only: Expansion of Services**
* **Funding: $340,000 – Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing Joint Component for families**
* **Funding: $265,000 – Rapid RRH/PSH for Young Families and Individuals**
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 2: Has the agency Passed the Threshold Requirements?** | **YES/NO** |
| **Any necessary information regarding the Threshold Requirements to be considered?** | **No Score** |
| **Section 3: Experience – EACH SUB-SECTION IS WORTH 4 POINTS –** | **\_\_\_\_/20** |
| **Experience and capacity to operate the project**1. Experience administering a CoC program (1 point)
2. Describes the ability to manage public grants/contracts (2 points)

No experience = 0, Some experience (no federal contract experience) = 1, Federal contract experience = 21. Describes the ability to manage a collaborative project and , if applicable, ability to monitor multiples parties performance of services (1 point)
 | \_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/4 |
| **Experience successfully operating similar services*** + - 1. Experience performing similar activities as those proposed in response to RFP (2 point)

No experience = 0, Some experience = 1, A great deal of experience = 2* + - 1. Has experience serving YYA or homeless YYA, or serving homeless individuals or families? (2 points)

 No experience = 0, Some experience = 1, A great deal of experience = 2 | \_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/4 |
| **Data Collection/Reporting Experience**1. Currently uses a data tracking/reporting system (2 points)

No system = 0, Uses informal system = 1, Uses formalized system = 21. Currently participates in HMIS (2 points)

No participation = 0, Limited HMIS participation = 1, Significant HMIS participation = 2 | \_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/4 |
| **Fiscal Management Structure**1. Demonstrates a clear structure for managing basic organizational operations (2 points)

No/inadequate structure = 0, Minimal structure = 1, Clear, adequate structure = 21. Has adequate financial accounting systems that are able to manage federal funding (2 points)

No/inadequate systems = 0, Minimal systems = 1, Adequate systems = 2 | \_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/4 |
| **Audit – Applicant and Sub-recipients**Agency audit completed in last 12 months (2 points)No audit in past 5 years = 0, Audit within past 5 years, but not last year = 1, Audit in past year = 2 Were there any findings from the audit? (Review any findings and/or corrective action plan)Findings, unresolved = 0, Findings, resolved = 1, No findings = 2 | \_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 4: Project Narrative/Approach** | **\_\_\_\_/35** |
| **Youth/Young Adult Voice/Collaboration**1. Grievance Policy in place (1 point)
2. Person with lived experience on Board or equivalent governance body (2 points)
3. Demonstration of how Youth/young adult voices will be addressed in the following:

Program Development (1 point)Program Monitoring (1 point)Program Assessment (1 point)Program Practice and Policy Development (1 point) | \_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/7 |
| **Eligible Populations**1. Eligible population (s) to be served by the project

Category 1 (2 point)Category 2 (2 point)Category 3 - N/A (0 points)Category 4 (2 point) | \_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/0\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/6 |
| **Special Populations Served** 1. Includes a plan to address the needs of special populations

LBGTQ YYA (1 point)YYA of color (1 point)Pregnant/Parenting YYA (1 point)YYA with disability (1 point)Immigrant YYA (1 point)Others (1 point)1. Specific strategies identified to serve special populations (1 point)

No plan to serve special populations = 0, Plan to serve one = 1, plan to serve 2+ = 2, Plan to serve 3 or more = 3 | \_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/7 |
| **YHDP Goal(s) & Core Principles**1. Application effectively demonstrates inclusion of the following:

Housing FirstYouth driven ServicesRacial EquityPYDStable HousingTrauma informed CarePermanent ConnectionsSocial/Emotional Well-beingEducation and Employment | \_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/9 |
| **Project Requirements**1. Project description fits with designated project type (SSO, TH-RRH, RRH, PSH) (1 point)
2. Agency able to meet all program requirements (If not, which ones and plan to implement them?)

Unable to meet all, no plan = 0, Unable to meet all, with plan = 1, Able to meet all requirements = 31. Clear staffing plan

No staffing plan = 0, Minimal staffing plan = 1, Clear staffing plan = 2 | \_\_\_\_/1\_\_\_\_/3\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/6 |
|  **Exceptions** are clearly identified and an implementation plan is described (if applicable) | YES / NONo points |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 5: Project Scope/Implementation** | **\_\_\_\_/25** |
| **Geographic Coverage** 1. Will the project serve Franklin County? (3 points)

No = 0, Yes = 31. Does the project serve an underserved location? (2 points)

No = 0, Underserved locations served = 2 | \_\_\_\_/3\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/5 |
| **Timeline** 1. Has a plan for Timely Completion of work – 2 year grant (3 points)

No = 0, Yes = 31. Is the plan reasonable for the completion of work in the stated timeframe? (2 points)

No = 0, Yes = 21. Do they have a plan for rapid implementation (3 months after receiving grant) (3 points)

No = 0, Yes = 31. Are relevant agreements/contracts included for project operation (2 points)

No = 0, Yes = 2 | \_\_\_\_/3\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/3\_\_\_\_/2\_\_\_\_/10 |
| **Outcomes/Continuous Quality Improvement**1. Is the project outcomes driven (5 points)

No clear outcomes = 0, Outcomes stated, but not clearly tied to project = 3, Outcomes stated and clearly tied to project = 51. Is there a plan for continuous quality improvement? (5 points)

No continuous quality improvement plan = 0, Has plan, but needs improvement = 3 Has clear plan = 5 | \_\_\_\_/5\_\_\_\_/5\_\_\_\_/10 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 6: Budget** | **\_\_\_/20** |
| **Budget**1. The budget is reasonable (5 points)

No budget = 0, Has budget, but not reasonable = 2, Has reasonable budget = 51. All proposed costs are reflected in the budget (5 points)

No = 0, Yes = 51. Budget for YHDP dollars does not include ineligible expenses (5 points)

No = 0, Yes = 51. Match is secured and has documentation (5 points)

No = 0, Has match, but insufficient documentation = 3, Has match and sufficient documentation = 5 | \_\_\_\_/5\_\_\_\_/5\_\_\_\_/5\_\_\_\_/5\_\_\_\_/20 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Section  | Points |
| Section 1: Project Type | No Points |
| Section 2: **Meets Threshold Requirements** | Y/N (IF No, do not move ahead) |
| Section 3:Applicant Experience | \_\_\_\_/20 |
| Section 4:Project Narrative | \_\_\_\_/35 |
| Section 5: Project Scope/ Implementation | \_\_\_\_/25 |
| Section 6: Budget | \_\_\_\_/20 |
| **Score** | **\_\_\_\_/100** |