

Urban Beat Commentary – Editorial Opinion

May 2026 Edition

Where Fire Meets Vision: A Birthday Tribute to the Legacy of Malcolm X



This truth carries personal meaning for me. My understanding of Malcolm, King, and Cone's powerful interpretation was shaped profoundly by my mentorship under Rev. George Walker Smith. Rev. Smith, a San Diego luminary icon, was a classmate of James Cone at Pittsburgh Theological Seminary, carried with him not only Cone's intellectual depth but a spirit of reflection that opened space for bridging differences. Through his guidance, I learned to move away from rigid binaries and toward a more integrated way of thinking, one that honors Malcolm's fire and King's vision as

complementary, not competing. That mentorship became its own form of conversion, shaping my understanding of liberation as a synthesis rather than a split.

James Cone, the pioneering theologian of Black liberation, offered one of the most illuminating readings of Malcolm X and Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. in his book *Martin & Malcolm & America: A Dream or A Nightmare*, the two figures often portrayed as ideological opposites. Cone challenged this easy division. For him, Malcolm and King represented distinct yet converging paths toward the same destination: the liberation, dignity, and full humanity of Black people. On Malcolm X's birthday, this convergence becomes even more significant, reminding us that the fire Malcolm carried is still a guiding light for justice.

Cone insisted that any sincere pursuit of liberation must take Malcolm X seriously. Malcolm gave voice to the anger, dignity, and self-determination forged in the crucible of racial oppression. His voice was unflinching, exposing the depth of America's structural and psychological racism. For Cone, Malcolm's fire was not destructive, it was illuminating. It revealed truths that the nation preferred to ignore and sharpened the moral edge of the broader freedom movement.

Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., by contrast, worked from a framework grounded in love, moral appeal, and nonviolent resistance. But Cone emphasized that King's nonviolence was anything but passive. It was disciplined, confrontational, and revolutionary in its own right. King's hope was not a soft idealism but a strategic force for transformation. Cone saw Malcolm's critique pushing King toward a more radical understanding of America's moral crisis, while King's insistence on beloved community broadened the horizons of Malcolm's global humanism.

Their convergence becomes clearest in their final years. Malcolm's transformation after Mecca shifted him toward a deeper sense of global solidarity, while King's critique of capitalism, militarism, and systemic poverty grew more urgent and uncompromising. They were moving toward one another—two prophets whose paths, though different, illuminated the same struggle.

On Malcolm X's birthday, Cone's insights and the wisdom passed down through Rev. Smith, remind us that liberation requires both the fierce clarity Malcolm brought and the expansive moral imagination King championed. Their convergence, where fire meets vision, is still a beacon for all who continue the struggle for justice.

#####

Herman C Collins, Public Affairs Consultant

Disclaimer: This Commentary is a segment of Urban Beat a publication of the San Diego County Urban League. While its content aims to foster discussion of current events, it does not represent the views or policies of the management of the Urban League, nor does it constitute an endorsement of any political opinions expressed herein.