Physicians’ Trust in One Another
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Trusted relationships between patients and their physicians are a timeless foundation of medical practice. In keeping with tradition and formalized codes of professional conduct, physicians are expected to put the needs of their patients ahead of their own; provide care irrespective of race, socioeconomic status, or religious beliefs; maintain confidentiality; and act with diligence, care, and competence. Commensurate with the fundamental importance of the patient-physician relationship, a vast body of research and extensive educational requirements focus on this issue.

Contrast that with physicians’ trust in one another. In preparing to convene a discussion group on physician-to-physician trust at the 2018 American Board of Internal Medicine Foundation Forum on rebuilding trust in health care, the authors were surprised to discover that, while considerable attention has been given to health care team dynamics, there is a negligible literature specifically about trust between and among physicians.

Given the absence of empirical research, participants were invited to share their own stories about physicians’ mutual trust in the context of clinical work (without specifying that they be positive or negative) and to collect stories from other conference participants.

How Specialties Regard Each Other

Three stories involved attending physicians who had commented to residents that physicians in another specialty (2 stories) or based in the community (1 story) were not “real doctors.” One positive story described a PCP and an emergency medicine specialist who had sought to better understand each other’s perspectives and needs to lessen tensions and grievances between their disciplines.

Addressing Disrespectful Behavior

Four stories were negative: a resident physician was ridiculed by an attending physician for expressing concern about another colleague’s unprofessional behavior; notwithstanding a hospital policy of zero tolerance for disruptive behavior, a surgeon who had intended to hold a colleague accountable for bullying was instructed by the hospital chief of staff to “lay off”; a dean of students admonished medical students to “think carefully about the consequences” before reporting instances of discrimination; and an attending physician did not support a resident who had experienced disrespectful behavior from a patient. In one positive story, a resident felt “backed-up” by an attending physician when she perceived that her orders were challenged disrespectfully by another team member.

Each storyteller was able to describe the effect or “residue” that the other physician’s behavior had on the physician-physician relationship. Not surprisingly, high-trust stories translated into strong interpersonal and organizational ties; low-trust stories were replete with expressions of distress and betrayal. This observation is especially important in light of the influence of the medical work environment on physician self-reported symptoms of depression and burnout. In addition, disparaging comments made by practitioners about each other contribute to the initiation of malpractice suits. While not explicit in every case, it is reasonable to infer that patients’ experience of care could
be compromised by receiving conflicting advice and by overt conflict between consultants and that the quality of decision making, and ultimately clinical outcomes, could be impaired by the absence of direct dialogue between practitioners to constructively integrate multiple diverse perspectives.

The stories collected were based on a convenience limited sample; more systematic studies will be necessary for the results to be generalizable. Nonetheless, this sample of stories is instructive and can point to some initial principles for physician-physician relationships and trust:

• Recognize that physician-physician relationships are consequential: they should be given the same level of attention and intention as patient-physician and interprofessional relationships. Each interaction with a colleague should be approached with the same explicit intention of fostering respect and shared decision making that the physician would bring to a patient encounter.3,4

• Value differences in perspective; harness them as a resource. In complex work, no one person can see the whole picture. Negotiating and integrating multiple perspectives allows everyone involved to gain a broader view that each person cannot formulate alone. Disrespectful behavior that inhibits the participation of others, or the refusal to engage with others, eliminates the possibility of creating new understanding through dialogue, ultimately harming everyone, especially patients. The goal in recognizing and negotiating differences is not to be right, to win a contest, or to dominate others (goals that are based in ego and are ultimately unprofessional) but rather to learn, to discern the wisest course of action, and to align everyone involved in carrying out that course of action (goals based in service). Humility (an honest acceptance that one’s own knowledge and abilities are incomplete) and curiosity (an eagerness to learn and a susceptibility to having one’s mind changed) constitute a strong foundation for harnessing the value of difference.5

• Notice the quality of relationships in each moment; be accountable and hold others accountable for creating patterns of respect and collaboration. Physicians, like other people, can so focus on the technical aspect of their work that they do not notice the relational aspects. Behaviors experienced by others as disrespectful result more often from inattention than ill intention, but the effect is just as negative. Physicians need to develop and maintain an ongoing discipline of reflecting individually and together on interpersonal interactions and the quality of partnership—with patients, with other members of the care team, and with each other. Physicians also need to point out (respectfully) rather than silently abide disrespectful behavior, in a spirit of helping each other provide the best possible care and creating the highest-quality work environment.6

More research is needed in areas such as the influence of trainees’ early formative experiences on their relationships with classmates and other health professionals and the factors that can improve connection and collegiality for all clinicians. In addition, there is a need to improve the quality of care and the care experience for patients and to reduce concerns about symptoms of depression and burnout among physicians. Individual clinicians and health care leaders can begin by considering how to apply these principles in their own local contexts.
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