

When Emotions Override Planning:

The Cost of Declining Insurance After a Spouse's Rejection

C. Brant Steck, CFP®

Applying for life insurance or long-term care (LTC) insurance as a married couple is a responsible step toward securing financial stability. However, an all-too-common scenario unfolds when one spouse is declined coverage. The declination is often taken personally, sparking frustration. In solidarity, the insurable spouse may also choose to walk away. The insurance carrier, however, is not attempting to offend; rather, they are making a decision based on statistical data and their underwriting guidelines. While the emotional response is understandable, it can lead to serious financial consequences for the couple and their family.

The Reality of Life Insurance Declinations

When one spouse is declined life insurance, it can feel personal and unjust. Yet, walking away from the process has minimal impact on the insurance company—it only increases the vulnerability of the family. The insurable spouse's decision to forgo coverage removes a critical financial safety net. Should the insurable spouse pass away unexpectedly, the uninsurable spouse is left without protection and at greater financial risk.

Life insurance isn't just about fairness or equality; it's about ensuring financial security. Declining coverage due to emotions only compounds the potential hardship for the surviving spouse. In reality, securing coverage for the insurable spouse is even more critical when the other is uninsurable. It ensures that at least one layer of protection is in place to safeguard the family's future.

The LTC Insurance Dilemma

The stakes are equally high with LTC insurance. If one spouse is declined, the remaining spouse's decision to walk away from coverage effectively shifts the family's position from being nearly 100% protected to having zero protection. This is a significant gamble, especially considering that the likelihood of one spouse needing extended care is high.

Without LTC insurance, the couple's financial portfolio becomes entirely exposed to the risk of long-term care expenses, which can erode savings and affect retirement plans. By securing coverage for at least one spouse, the family mitigates a substantial portion of this risk. It's not an all-or-nothing proposition; protecting what you can is far better than leaving everything to chance.

When Emotions Lead to Financial Mistakes

It's natural to feel offended when a spouse is declined insurance, but making financial decisions based on emotions can lead to significant, long-term mistakes.

Declining coverage in solidarity may feel like the right thing to do emotionally, but it can be a disservice to the family's financial well-being. Insurance decisions should be rooted in logic and long-term planning, not temporary feelings of offense or frustration.

Choosing Financial Sense Over Emotion

It's crucial to look past the emotional response and focus on what makes sound financial sense. Protecting your family from the unpredictability of life and health challenges is a fundamental aspect of financial planning. If one spouse can secure coverage, it is still a win—a step toward safeguarding the family's financial future.

Remember, insurance is about mitigating risks. By ensuring at least partial protection, couples can significantly reduce potential financial strain and provide peace of mind.

In the end, it's not about what feels fair but about what is smart, responsible, and ultimately beneficial for the family.