



Fwd: Case 150419923

1 message

ilmyremy@gmail.com <ilmyremy@gmail.com>

Thu, Oct 6, 2016 at 11:20 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Merriouns, Iris" <ILMerriouns@oaklandnet.com>
Date: October 6, 2016 at 9:18:40 AM PDT
To: Ilm Ilm <ilmyremy@gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: Case 150419923

Sent on a Sprint Samsung Galaxy S® 5

----- Original message -----

From: "Merriouns, Iris" <ILMerriouns@oaklandnet.com>
Date: 09/30/2016 9:43 AM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Steger, Robert (POL)" <robert.steger@sfgov.org>, ilmyremy@gmail.com, "Harvey S. Merriouns, Ph.D." <merricam@pacbell.net>, Genehazzard@gmail.com
Cc: "Bailey, Una (POL)" <Una.Bailey@sfgov.org>, "Del Rosario, Conrad (DAT)" <conrad.delrosario@sfgov.org>, marc.massarweh@sfgov.org, Trent.rhorer@sfgov.org, ilmyremy@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Case 150419923

Inspector Steger, Thank you for your response and for taking my call.

Update Re: Joining Fraud and Harassment Complaints

I am curious to know and understand aside from being directed to recover the notary book would you perhaps be able to provide any update around the fraud investigation or have any idea when the Fraud and Harassment will be pulled together in addition to the need for follow-up on supplemental reports to addressee inaccuracies in the incident reports.

The matter of Iris Canada has come to the attention of SFPD, APS, SVU the MAYORS office and DA's office. Although the matter has gained national attention the most important issue is her health and safety. The first of a varied number of SFPD incident reports started in late 2014, and the most comprehensive of the SFPD reports came to the attention of the reporting agencies May 2015 after Iris Canada suffered a stroke due to the harassment of her neighbors. Our family has discovered along the way a number of attempts to alienate her in her unit, while stalking and harassing her by a fixed group of TIC owners which are her neighbors. 10 people in her building along with and Peter

Owens, (former Community and Economic Development Director of Burlington VT, and property prospector in San Francisco) his wife and his brother, and all of the occupants in the building have a financial windfall that would come along with the removal and or demise of Iris Canada. They have worked collectively and diligently to advance their position inside and outside of the court room. Our concerns continue to be the actions taken outside of the court room against Iris Canada and the fraud that advanced them to the court room.

Mr. Peter M. Owens has orchestrated a number of direct and veiled attacks against Iris Canada. What is most ironic and cruel is his time on the Air with KGO, and in email as well as in person he has made the **statement Iris Canada has done nothing wrong**. He has said, he has only launched a lawsuit against then 98 year old Iris Canada because **he wants her signature on the expedited condo conversion of 668-678 Page**. He says he needs her signature to move forward, however, he sent her **fraudulent documents to sign, imposed a false timeline and demanded she sign with threats to revoke her Life Estate** but most importantly had she adhered to his demands it would have forced her to commit perjury. The City of San Francisco has clear precise process with a set of guidelines that one must adhere to in the process. His demanded her signature is inconsistent with the process City and County guidelines not Iris Canada's process. His public statement on the and Air in writing Iris Canada has done nothing wrong although he has continued to move forward on the bogus frivolous lawsuit which was based on documents that are not signed by Iris Canada and considered fraudulent, yet another form of harassment.

In our last meeting months ago with Special Victims Unit and the DA's office with DA. Conrad Del Rosario, Lt. Dean Riess, Inspector Robert Steger, and Marc Massaweh, at some point it was agreed the documents were fraudulent attached to the deed of trust, however it would be difficult to charge and bring before a court. The same documents were used to file suit involving Iris Canada, and used to represent to the court as being part of Iris Canada's deed of trust. Peter Owens has sent a number of emails and the other occupants have conspired to present to the court and anyone that will listen a false picture of a then 98 year old woman. Had members of Iris Canada family not stepped in they would have gotten away with Elder Abuse and Faud. IRIS CANADA HAS NEVER MOVED FROM HER HOME and therefore not violated her Life Estate.

Iris Canada is a prime example of how the elderly can be taken advantage of right under your nose. Her Life Estate and all of the documents surrounding are saddled with constraints that do not allow her to age in place and or effectively or revoke Life Estate the multiple lynch pins through the Life Estate and Fourth Amendment of the TIC agreement that should not bind her legally but somehow were represented to the court as legitimately binding. The continuous ongoing harassment of which two additional incidents of harassment took place after our meeting and one of the SFPD reports inaccurately describes the events. We clearly understand you to say your agencies cannot intervene in the State Case, although it is hinged and laced with fraud, and harassment of which you are still investigating I assume. We are unclear about the harassment issues and how and when they will be addressed.

I am curious when an elderly person, or there family reports such incidents to the reporting agencies what is the normal protocol and how are this issues addressed or is the elder person just at a loss? As a family member and among the people who has witnessed many of the matters brought before you as they unfold in front of my eyes it has been shocking to see the threshold an elderly person must meet to defend and protect themselves or it can become their loss of health, safety and sometimes life itself.

I have multiple emails from Peter Owens which have not been reviewed. I have parts of the TIC agreement which has not been reviewed. I have multiple witness to the harassment which have not been contacted. During our last meeting we left with an understanding the two areas of fraud and harassment would be pulled together. Peter Owens sued a 98 year-old woman for absolutely no reason and used documents she had not signed or seen. Iris Canada suffered a stroke before she could be deposed, due to the harassment orchestrated by Owens and the multiple owners he

financially backed for loans to purchase their units. Iris Canada's physicians would not allow her to participate in the court proceedings at the time of discovery due to her recent stroke. During the same period of time APS asked that she move out of her home temporarily due to the harassment while they conducted an investigation. That was in (May 2015) – Nothing has come it to our knowledge date?

Meanwhile many of you have followed the court case, with limited information and perhaps not understanding Iris Canada could not respond in court due to the stroke, although Peter Owens Attorneys represented to the court that she refused respond and although her physician wrote a letter stating her health impacts of the stroke and her inability to participate in the proceedings the case moved forward, instead of being protected by the court Iris Canada was given sanctions by the court which included issue sanctions which precluded her from introducing documentation in her own defense. So while you look at the court filings know and understand the full scope of this matter. While she was trying to recover from her stroke. the successful conspiracy of the of 13 or so people to commit Fraud and the ongoing Harassment on the 100 year old never stopped.

The direction we agreed upon at the conclusion of our meeting in June to our understanding was your departments would be looking into moving to combine the Harassment and Fraud, Lt. Dean Reiss said he would be speaking with his Captain to combine the two and assist with supplemental SFPD reports which are still incomplete to date. Ms. Canada remains a victim to the flagrantly criminal acts without consequence to any of the multiple perpetrators that have launched an attack against her and she has suffered a great loss.

Harassment Issues and Concerns

I learned in late May a couple of weeks before our meeting in June that Mr. Marc Massarweh was the investigator around the harassment complaints I looped him into the meeting request on May 30th and also early June he did not respond to the email however he did attend the meeting I am wondering if there will be any follow-up? Although this matter was at the center of our meeting he has not made any contact with me. I attempted to ask Mr. Marc Massarweh what was the result of his investigation of the harassment and he was directed to not to answer the question. I was expecting some kind of follow up from Marc, with me or any of the witness to the harassment since that time. Would it be possible for some kind of follow from Mr. Massarweh, at least an answer to my question?

Since our last urgent meeting while hoping for a follow- up call or email the fraudulent lawsuit has progressed. Resulting in displacement and financial ruined of a 100 year old and possibly soon to be homeless woman. The matter has moved beyond urgent, it was urgent and extremely time sensitive months ago when we met. Since that time we have not heard anything from your offices or agencies or anyone attending the meeting except this email from Inspector Steger and a phone call I initiated. Whom should the list of witness be given to for follow-up.

May I also note, I placed a phone call to Maxwell Szabo - Legislative Affairs and Policy Manager for the DA's office on Sept 7th during a break at my office at approximately 11:15 AM. Maxwell met with a group of protestors at the Hall of Justice on Aug 17th in response to a request to meet with DA George Gascon. He came out to reception and proceeded to try to answer questions that he had no update on. He gave me his business card. in response to a request to meet with George Gascon. When I contacted Mr. Maxwell Szabo he hung up in my face, he refused to hear me out and decided instead of hearing me out he wanted to comment on the protest. A protest that I did not organize. My call was to follow up on making an appointment with DA Gascon, Maxwell treated me poorly during our 1 minute call. He focused on last month's protest in front of the DA's office which was not organized by Iris Canada or myself it was organized by organizations that have been following this matter, they had no idea the DA's office was already handling this matter and once they learned the information from Maxwell they became more outraged.

The family of Iris Canada sincerely hope Maxwell Szabo behavior is not a reflection of what Iris

Canada should expect from a the reporting agencies that are tasked with investigating and addressing her concerns

Thank you,

Iris Merriouns

cell: 510 435-7044

From: Steger, Robert (POL) [mailto:robert.steger@sfgov.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 12:22 PM
To: Merriouns, Iris
Cc: Bailey, Una (POL); Del Rosario, Conrad (DAT)
Subject: Case 150419923

Dear Iris Merriouns,

Captain Bailey asked me to send you this email and inform you on your aunt's case. Since our last meeting, I have requested the notary book from the notary who notarized the Deed of Trust in 2005. This person has been out of the office and her first date of return is today. I had originally spoken to her assistant who stated to me that I had to wait for this person to return as only she has access to her notary books (they must be kept in a locked place per California law).

I have confirmed that this notary is back at her office and have already left her a message to contact me. Once I have this book I shall immediately present this evidence to the assistant district attorney assigned to this case.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to email or call me at 415-553-1402.

Sincerely,

Inspector Robert Steger

----- Forwarded message -----

From: ilm ilm <ilmyremy@gmail.com>
To: "Lt DEAN Reiss, Special Vic Unit" <dean.ries@sfgov.org>
Cc: "merricam@pacbell.net" <merricam@pacbell.net>, "marionbanks@sbcglobal.net" <marionbanks@sbcglobal.net>, Mark Booker <mmbooker.mb@gmail.com>, Abdoulaye M Yansane <ayansane@gmail.com>, Jean Hazzard <genehazzard@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 6 Sep 2016 20:16:40 +0000
Subject: Iris Canada case # Harrasment and Fruad

Lt. Reiss,

I am contacting you as another attempt to follow-up on the harrasment and frudad of 100 year Iris Canada. In want has resulted in a successful fraudulent lawsuit to take her property of which she has a percentage owneship interest and revoking her 250K Life Estate of which she has paid 100K into do date.

Harrasment and Fruad committed have seemly gone unpunished and Ms. Canada remains a victim of these crime and flagrantly criminal acts without consequence to the 13 perpetrators.

You agreed to pull the harrasment and fraud together and assist with any supplemental to the police reports.

Meanwhile the fraudulent lawsuit has moved forward.

Please contact me as soon as possible for an update and supplemental to police report.

I await your response,

Thank you,

Iris Merriouns
510 435-7044

 **mime-attachment**
10K