Rubric for Grants for Innovative Education 2018-2019

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Poor/Not Present
1. Achievement: articulates specific learning outcomes directly linked to student involvement and expected student achievement.	 Strong and direct link to expected student achievement Is engaging, age appropriate, and excites student learners 	Indirect or partial link to expected student achievement Potentially increases student learning	No link to expected student achievement
Answers the question: "What is the purpose of your project? How will it increase student achievement and involvement?"	8-10 points	4-7 points	0 points
2. Innovation: demonstrates a new concept or new or unique application of an existing idea. Answers the question: "How is	A new concept, or a unique application of an existing concept, is presented.	Moderately innovative concept or application is used.	• Innovation is unclear, poorly articulated, or not present.
this project unique from other educational programs and initiatives at your school?"	16-20 points	8-15 points	0 points
3. Methods: defines specific instructional methods that lead to student learning outcomes.	Clearly defines instructional methods that lead to student learning outcomes	Somewhat defines instructional methods that lead to student learning outcomes	Inadequately defines instructional methods that lead to student learning outcomes
Answers the question: "How will the project be implemented?"	8-10 points	4-7 points	0 points
4. Assessment: uses clear and measurable outcomes to assess program impact. Answers the question: "How	Clear and measurable assessment process. Uses student assessment results to make ongoing program improvements	Assessment tools present Limited use of student assessment results to make program improvements	Little or no documented assessment outcomes. Student assessment results not tied to program improvements
will you measure and assess student outcomes from this project?	6-8 points	2-5 points	0 points
5 . Sustainability: addresses a plan for sustaining the project beyond the grant year. Answers the question: "How	Clearly identifies a reasonable method to effectively continue the project after the grant year	Somewhat defines a method to continue the project after the grant year	Does not address sustainability or inadequately identifies a method to continue the project after the grant
will the school keep the project going?"	6-8 points	2-5 points	year 0 points
6. Project Budget Answers the question: "How will the money be spent"	Budget plan well presented and practical.	Budget plan may have some gaps – allocation/support issues	budget plan poorly presented, impractical
	8-10 points	4-7 points	0 points
7. Marketing HSF as funding source for your program.	Clear plan to promote HSF internally and externally.	Marketing plan may be unclear or incomplete.	Marketing plan poorly presented or missing.
	4 points	2 points	0 points