

**DON'T LET THEM STEAL
YOUR RIGHTS!**

Editorial

Whether it is legalizing infanticide, illegal immigration, or raising taxes to finance the Green New Deal, just to mention a few, we are faced with a government that has overstepped established boundaries. Our Representatives blatantly ignore their Oath of fidelity to the Constitution and its limits imposed on their actions. Moreover they somehow feel they can trample on our God-given inalienable rights as individuals and citizens. This is nothing new. But it's getting very old!

Our Declaration of Independence, Constitution, and Bill of Rights are admired throughout the world. These documents enshrine our love of freedom and the rule of law that has made America a "Shining City on a Hill" of self-government. Our documents, our republican government, and our citizen participation combine to define American Exceptionalism. We won't easily let this inheritance go.

When sweeping decisions by justices, Congress, Governors or other elected/appointed officials seek to pull the wool over our eyes, to institute laws and impose judgments contrary to our moral codes and the laws of our land, they have trespassed on our patch of freedom just one too many times.

The 1962 court decision of Roe vs. Wade was a total perversion of a basic element of America's design. A Right established by higher law. This is just part of the important topics in this issue.

In too many instances, avoiding the clear intent of the Constitution has resulted in great harm to our nation and our American people. The good news is that the Constitution is still the Supreme Law of the Land, but it needs to be enforced to bring about the restoration of sound government. All that is necessary to bring about this restoration is to inform and motivate our fellow citizens to hold the feet of their lawmakers to the fire and insist that their oaths of office mean something once again. But, this depends on "We The People."

CONSTITUTION CORNER

This is a regular column by Editorial Staff discussing our U.S. Constitution and Declaration of Independence.

When Will We Hold Them Accountable to Their Oath?

Excerpt from an article by John F. McManus,
The New American Magazine, 01-21-19

Consider the duty of elected officials to follow their Oath to the Constitution. America became great, not because of what government did, but because of what government was prevented from doing by the Constitution. If the Constitution were accorded the importance it deserves, the federal government would be 20 percent its size and 20 percent its cost — and we would have 50 states whose laws more closely align with the mores and the desires of their populaces, a freedom that Americans in general should seek.

1. Article I, Section 1, Sentence 1: "All legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States."



The word "all" leaves no room for exceptions. In this instance, therefore, no government entity, individual, or group has been awarded any lawmaking power except Congress. But commonly employed presidential executive orders have been allowed to assume lawmaking status — which is obviously unconstitutional. Hundreds of executive mandates handed down by presidents over recent years have immediately been elevated to law governing the American people and their endeavors. The president may issue executive orders that should concern only how members of the executive branch function in order to comply with federal law, but he cannot change or make law. If a presidential executive order establishes a law governing the entire nation, the president has over-stepped his bounds, and his edict should be disregarded.

2. Article I, Section 8, Clause 1: "The Congress shall have power to ... provide for the ... general welfare." In this portion of the Constitution, the Founders meant only power toward establishing and maintaining general (national) conditions that would enable the people to start a business, invest in some enterprise, apply for a job, etc. The "welfare" they referred to was not the well being of individual persons; it was the "general welfare" of the nation itself. The Founders mocked our now-common view. James Madison said: "If Congress can do whatever in their discretion can be done by money, and will promote the General Welfare, the government is no longer a limited one, possessing enumerated powers, but an indefinite one, subject to particular exceptions."

IS IT JUST ME?

Walls ARE Immoral!

(Depending on which side you are standing)
By Chaplain R.T. Byrum

Walls that are needed to keep illegal alien caravans from invading the United States are immoral, according to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi. However, the walls around the homes of the Obamas, the Clintons, etc., to keep unwelcome intruders from invading *their*



property and privacy are ... moral? Let's skip the PC foolishness and get to the heart of the matter.

This 79-year-old woman will probably not be around to experience the damage to the U.S. caused by her 8 years of representing California, the most radical state of the union.

Want the Heartland Herald mailed directly to your home? Only \$12 per year! Contact us at 863-658-1280, or e-mail us ourheartlandherald@gmail.com

Her vitriolic hate for President Trump and his conservative and evangelical base is the real driving force behind her agenda. Her Democratic Party voted billions to build a physical barrier during the Obama administration, but she now stands as a virtual wall against Trump's appeal for only 20% of that amount to actually provide security against the growing attack on our freedoms.

Of course, she's not the only one. The woman governor of New Mexico, Michelle Lujan Grisham, responded to President Trump's border wall promise by announcing she was pulling National Guard troops from the border, including the NG troops placed there from other states.

In what is possibly the most insane demonstration of immaturity, she appears in a 30-second ad where she crashes through several dry walls representing businesses and a school. Running in high heels and covered with plaster, she finishes her rant against border walls by crashing through the last wall covered with a large poster of Donald Trump. Good choice, New Mexico. You could be the new California by the time the next election rolls around.

The liberal mantra of unity with illegal aliens fools no one. Once they have their vote, the border crashers will find themselves in the same boat as other "useful idiots" who endlessly vote for the party of broken promises, infanticide, and global socialism. How is that working for them?

During the president's SOTU address, a wide shot of the members of Congress, showed an invisible wall separating the two sides. On the left were cheering supporters of the Constitution. On the right sat Pelosi's choir waiting for her not so subtle hand signals to indicate when to clap, stand, or be silent. Thankfully, it's not too late for them to renew their oath of office, including those who mocked America by swearing on a Quran.

The President's base understands that it was the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob that placed Donald J. Trump in the White House. We prayed that it would be so, and now we pray that liberals (of both parties) will have their eyes opened before causing any permanent damage to our nation.

A final word: Every murder, every rape, every death of a young drug addict caused by an illegal alien is now the true legacy of those who refuse to build a moral wall to protect America's citizens.

**What Are We Going To Do
About The Universities?**

Excerpt of article by John R. Smith in Bizpacreview.com 02-15-19 |

America's universities have mostly slid into a disgraceful sinkhole of political correctness, identity politics, and an assault on the value of free speech. College administrators and faculty are allowing suppression of open inquiry and dissent to replace their responsibility to uphold academic standards and prepare students for life.

Many universities have become hostile territ-

(Continued on Page 2)

(What About Universities - cont'd from Page 1)
ory to all forms of conservative thinking and they have offered cozy nests for far-leftists and neo-Marxists to spread their poison.

Make no mistake; the collective plan of these leftists is to indoctrinate malleable-minded students to a progressive left agenda, while refusing to allow opposition voices to challenge leftist positions. These classic socialist infiltration methods are being promoted or tolerated by college administrators.

Further, the universities are being spurred on by the 2016 Democratic Party platform, and by Dem politicians, famously starting with its last candidate for president, Hillary Clinton, who announced this goal during her campaign: "to amend the Bill of Rights to make it less protective...to change the First Amendment in order to regulate the quantity, content and timing of political speech."

What else in our universities should we be distressed over? We can add the classroom hostility to capitalism, the decline of intellectual diversity and academic freedom, and politically correct speech codes. From too many college professors, we hear that our military is full of warmongers, that corporations harm America when they seek profits, that white racists lurk around every corner, and that students are right to demand safe spaces and to dig up allegations of systematic racial injustice on their own campuses.

Far too many teachers deplore competition, teaching coursework where nobody gets an "F" and everybody gets a reward no matter if they have failed. Professors by the score became more concerned about equality of outcomes, not equality of opportunity.

Moral conservatism was being banned from campus, as the higher purpose of universities slowly slipped into the background. Obnoxious students pushed, and administrators caved.

The inmates took over the asylum. The tenured professor radicals corrupted higher education, as they demanded diversity in all things except thought. College life on many campuses became surreal as reality took second place to leftist ideology.

Students' hopes of acquiring an education they can use, or preparing for a realistic adulthood, often became secondary to a demand to be protected from offensive or controversial ideas and "micro-aggressions".

How did all this happen? Because spineless and fearful college administrators and professors empowered radical activists who forced universities to look at life and American history through the rose-colored optics of identity, gender and ethnicity. The truth is that too many colleges offer useless courses in politically correct ideology. They award worthless degrees that prepare their graduates for nothing in the real world all the while encouraging students to load themselves up with gigantic debt.

It does America no good to rely on education when the education being given is the wrong kind.

[https://www.bizpacreview.com/2019/02/15/time-to-get-serious-america-what-are-we-going-to-doabout-the-universities-724327](https://www.bizpacreview.com/2019/02/15/time-to-get-serious-america-what-are-we-going-to-do-about-the-universities-724327)

THINK ABOUT THIS!

- The Constitution: It doesn't need to be rewritten; it needs to be reread.

THE COURT IS NOT GOING TO END ABORTION, SO HERE'S WHAT WE DO

Excerpt of article by Peter Heck in The Resurgent, 02-14-19
Pro-life Americans could be excused for overestimating the importance of recent changes on the U.S. Supreme Court. They have endured one of the most mind-numbing legal battles in the history of modern man, arguing that it shouldn't be legal for a medical professional to murder tiny human beings while being consistently thwarted by supposedly friendly judges who seem intent on maintaining an immoral, unscientific, unconstitutional and outdated status quo and horrific jurisprudence,

I don't fault the euphoria that existed in pro-life circles when the Supreme Court looked to be restocked with Federalist Society-approved, constitutionalist judges, who could finally do to the dreadful *Roe* ruling what a previous Court had done to the dreadful *Plessy* ruling: overturn it, rectify it, and judicially apologize for their predecessors having screwed up so badly an issue of basic morality and humanity.

For all the talk about Chief Justice Roberts and his loyalty to the Constitution's text, he has always demonstrated himself to be far more loyal to the legacy and institution of the Court itself. Roberts engaged in the most flagrant act of judicial activism in a generation, literally rewriting the Obama care law from the bench in order to help it pass Constitutional muster. Roberts capitulated to cultural expectation rather than hold to constitutional fidelity.

David French does an admirable job explaining why the Court's recent decision to stay the implementation of a common sense Louisiana law that says a medical professional who is going to commit an abortion on someone needs to have hospital admitting privileges – in other words, it's a law that protects the health and life of the mother enduring the abortion – is proof yet again that the Court's "conservatives" aren't about to mess with abortion.

I've been desperately pleading with pro-life Americans to realize for a while now: the courts aren't going to save babies. We will have to do it. And we do it by:

1. Praying.
2. Building pregnancy resource centers across the street from every Planned Parenthood in America, driving them out of business.
3. Using every gift we've been given to testify to our neighbors, friends, coworkers, parishioners, congregations, family members – everyone we have influence with – the truth about life: how it's a gift, how it's precious, how it's imbued with the image of the Divine, how it's always wanted.
4. Harnessing social media to make positive, life-affirming testimonies as well as offering loving, but clear cautions about the consequences of a culture where the innocent aren't protected by law.
5. Visibly and relentlessly prodding people to think about and answer the simplest questions: what is in the womb of a mother, and when should that being have legal rights?

Only when the culture moves will politicians move. Only when culture moves will courts

The Heartland Herald is printed & distributed locally by Liberty-loving People offering news to the heartland of FL. We invite writers to submit articles for publishing. An Editorial Board reviews and selects those pieces that they believe will be of greatest interest. To submit an article or obtain a copy of our guidelines, please send us an email message at ourheartlandherald@gmail.com

move. The problem is our culture. The solution is us. Stop waiting on Kavanaugh and Roberts to do what you and I must.

To read the entire article, go to:
<https://theresurgent.com/2019/02/14/the-court-is-not-going-to-end-abortion-so-heres-what-we-do/>



Did you know that 60 years ago Venezuela was 2 times richer Than China? 4 times richer than Japan? It was the 4th ranked World economy, (1st in Latin America). It's health system was the best in the western world. It's currency was 2nd only to the U.S. Dollar.

In only 10 years Venezuela was destroyed by SOCIALISM.

Most Federal Spending Occurs Without Congressional Approval: GAO

In New American Magazine, 01-21-19

Given the ferocity with which federal lawmakers battle over the budget, one might think the fate of the entire country hung in the balance. But the truth of the matter, according to a new Government Accountability Office (GAO) report published November 29, is that they are fighting over a very small — and shrinking — portion of federal spending while most of the real budget-busters are on autopilot. Of the \$3.7 trillion Uncle Sam spent in 2015, the GAO found that \$3.2 trillion of it was spent without explicit congressional authorization. That means Congress voted on just 14 percent of all federal outlays that year. How did that happen when the Constitution vests the power of the purse in Congress alone?

The answer is that Congress has seen fit to take most spending decisions out of its own hands. Primarily, it has done so by creating entitlement programs whose budgets are determined by who is eligible to receive benefits and at what levels. These are known as "permanent appropriations." The Department of Health and Human Services, which oversees Medicare, had the largest spending authority and permanent appropriations in 2015: \$979 billion, or about 30 percent of all such spending. The Social Security Administration came in a close second with \$928 billion, or 28 percent. The Treasury Department was next with \$542 billion, or 17 percent, most of which went to pay interest on the national debt.

All told, permanently funded programs accounted for \$2.6 trillion, or 70 percent of all spending. Congress has also ceded its power to federal agencies in other ways, including offsetting collections, contract authority, and borrowing authority, all of which allow agencies to disburse cash without an appropriation. Representatives and senators need to reclaim spending decisions from unelected bureaucrats as required by the Constitution. Then they need to follow the rest of that document and

(Continued on Page 3)

(Federal Spending - cont'd from Page 2)
start slashing away at the countless programs that are driving the United States toward fiscal ruin.

In America Today, We Plunder and Call It Good

Excerpt of article by Walter E. Williams in Daily Signal 02-20-19

Frederic Bastiat, a French economist and member of the French National Assembly, lived from 1801 to 1850. He had great admiration for our country, except for our two faults—slavery and tariffs.

He said: "Look at the United States. There is no country in the world where the law is kept more within its proper domain: the protection of every person's liberty and property."

If Bastiat were alive today, he would not have that same level of admiration. The U.S. has become what he fought against for most of his short life.

Bastiat observed that "when plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men in a society, over the course of time they create for themselves a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it."

You might ask, "What did Bastiat mean by 'plunder'?" Plunder is when someone forcibly takes the property of another. That's private plunder. What he truly railed against was legalized plunder, and he told us how to identify it.

That could describe today's American laws. We enthusiastically demand that the Congress forcibly use one American to serve the purposes of another American. You say: "That's insulting. It's no less than saying that we Americans support a form of slavery!"

What then should we call it when two-thirds to three-quarters of a \$4 trillion-plus federal budget can be described as Congress taking the property of one American and giving it to another to whom it does not belong?

What if I privately took the property of one American to give to another American to help him out? I'm guessing and hoping you'd call it theft and seek to jail me. When Congress does the same thing, it's still theft. The only difference is that it's legalized theft.

However, legality alone does not establish morality. Slavery was legal; was it moral? Nazi, Stalinist, and Maoist purges were legal, but were they moral?

Some argue that Congress gets its authority to bypass its enumerated powers from the general welfare clause. There are a host of proofs that the Framers had no such intention.

James Madison, the "Father of the Constitution," wrote, "If Congress can do whatever in their discretion can be done by money, and will promote the general welfare, the government is no longer a limited one possessing enumerated powers, but an indefinite one."

Thomas Jefferson wrote, "Our tenet ever was ... that Congress had not unlimited powers to provide for the general welfare, but were restrained to those specifically enumerated."

Rep. William Drayton of South Carolina asked in 1828, "If Congress can determine what constitutes the general welfare and can appropriate money for its advancement, where is the limitation to carrying into execution whatever can be effected by money?"

What about our nation's future? Moral Americans are increasingly confronted with Bastiat's dilemma: "When law and morality contradict each other, the citizen has the cruel

alternative of either losing his moral sense or losing his respect for the law."

To read the full article go to:
<https://www.dailysignal.com/2019/02/20/in-america-today-we-plunder-and-call-it-good/>

The Road From Abortion to Infanticide, Euthanasia

Excerpt of an article by Bruce Ashford in The Daily Signal 02-06-19

A Democratic senator blocked on Monday night the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, which would have ensured children



who survived abortions were given medical care.

This Act came on the heels of comments last week from Democratic Gov. Ralph Northam of Virginia insinuating that he supports infanticide in some instances.

Roe v. Wade legalized abortion by implicitly categorizing an unborn baby as the "personality" or one's private property. Thus, ironically, merely a few years after America's affirmation of the civil rights movement, the Supreme Court majority in Roe declared that there was, after all, an entire class of human beings—unborn babies—for whom there would be no guarantee of justice and equality. Justice John Paul Stevens supported the perverse logic of Roe by arguing that an unborn baby does not become a human being until the moment of birth. Furthermore, as Valparaiso University law professor Richard Stith argued 20 years ago, the incoherence of this progressive argument—that the moment of birth is a "bright line" at which an infant becomes a human being—may very well lead to the embrace of infanticide.

In other words, since medical science makes clear that there is very little difference between a baby the day before birth and the day after birth, Stith speculated that progressive thought leaders would increasingly argue for the legalization of post-birth abortion.

John Harris, a founder of the International Association of Bioethics, argues that if abortion can be justified, so can infanticide.

Similarly, Jonathan Glover, senior ethicist at King's College London, argues that there is no such thing as an inherent "sanctity of human life." He argues that babies are not autonomous because they are not even aware of the difference between life and death. Thus, parents and medical personnel should evaluate whether or not the infant's life is worth living. Even worse is the inevitability that the movement to legalize infanticide will be accompanied by a push to legalize euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide.

Anti-life legislation is arguably the most consistent consequence of the culture of death enshrined in our legal code since Roe v. Wade. Northam and Murray represent a powerful movement to stay true to the ethic undergirding pro-abortion activism, and they are gaining support day by day.

This unashamed movement to undermine the sanctity of human life must be resisted, not only by Congress but also by the citizens of our great nation.

To read the complete article, go to:
<https://www.dailysignal.com/2019/02/06/short-is-the-road-that-leads-from-abortion-to-infanticide-euthanasia/>

Who - What Am I?

I used to think I was just a regular person, but I was born white, which now, whether I like it or

not, makes me a racist.

- I am a fiscal and moral conservative, which by today's standards makes me a fascist.
- I am heterosexual, which now makes me a homophobe.
- I am mostly non-union, which makes me a traitor to the working class and an ally of big business.
- I was christened by my parents, which now labels me as an infidel.
- I am retired, which makes me useless.
- I am proud of my heritage, which makes me a xenophobe.
- I value my safety and that of my family and I appreciate the police and the legal system, which makes me a right-wing extremist.
- I believe in hard work, fair play, and fair compensation according to each individual's merits, which today makes me an anti-socialist.
- I believe in the defense and protection of my country, I honor those in the Armed Forces, which now makes me a right wing-militant.

Please help me come to terms with the new me... because I'm just not sure who the hell I am anymore!

GOD TOLD NEHEMIAH TO BUILD A WALL

Excerpt of an article By [Ray DiLorenzo](#) in Canada Free Press--01-26-19

After the remnant of Jews returned to Jerusalem, they rebuilt their temple and needed a wall for protection. God told Nehemiah, who was neither a priest nor a politician, but an executive builder, to rebuild the broken walls



around Jerusalem. The destruction and deterioration of Jerusalem's wall

exposed its people to harm and left its people defenseless against enemies that could enter and cause "great trouble" to its people.

God knows the dangers of this world; that's why He called Nehemiah to rebuild Jerusalem's wall. Since we still live in a fallen world and this nation, like others, need to protect ourselves from dangers from the outside that could hurt us. A legal immigration policy that vets potential citizens or guests only makes sense. Disputing it or attempting to negotiate it downward makes absolutely no sense.

In the January 22, 2019 edition of the Los Angeles Times, they said, "Terrorism isn't a big threat anymore. So, why build a wall?" Our southern neighbor is an unstable country with a murder rate 5X that of the United States! Having not had a major terrorist attack lately is because we haven't changed our strategy. Thank you, President Trump.

Europe didn't expect the thousands of murders, assaults, rapes, robberies or the multitude of other crimes caused by unvetted immigrants.

The struggle is really about maintaining a Democrat' party's power with the casting of hundreds of thousands of fraudulent votes, the satisfying of political donors who demand cheap labor, the total unwillingness to accept the outcome of a presidential election and the prevention of Donald Trump from achieving his campaign promises...period! Public safety is not and never has been a consideration.

When Nancy Pelosi says that it's immoral to build a wall, she proves she knows nothing of morality or, for that matter, God, but has spent too much of her time and energy on politics and the acquisition of power. The Book of Nehemiah shows that God protects His people. That's good – whose people are we?

CD coming due? Compare our rates.

Edward Jones®

MAKING SENSE OF INVESTING

Alan Holmes, AAMS® | 2160 Lakeview Dr. | Sebring, FL 33870 | 863-382-4450
Financial Advisor | www.edwardjones.com | Member SIPC

HAIR CUTS & MORE
\$9.99up

HIGHLANDS HAIR SALON
1690 VICKI DRIVE
SEBRING
863 – 253-9603

FLORIDA FUN
TRAVEL

floridafuntravel.com

Fascinating places & events in
the Sunshine State

Estelle Linda Tuthill, BSN, JD
Attorney & Counselor at Law

3627 Little Lake Drive, Sebring, Florida 33876
Tele: (863) 273-0766 Email: eltrnjdlc@hotmail.com

I MAKE HOUSE CALLS

FOCUS: ELDER LAW, ADVANCED DIRECTIVES
RN, Ret. Healthcare Manager LTC USAR, Ret.

SAVE UP TO 85% ON PRESCRIPTIONS

AAA DIRECT DISCOUNT

3200 US 27 S, Ste. #103

9th Year in Business in Sebring

Ph # 863-385-5689 Fx # 863-582-9355

Open 9-5 Mon thru Friday



Papa Johns Pizza

Delivery, Carry out, Dine In

863-386-1177

935 Sebring Sq. (across from Wal-Mart)

www.papajohns.com

Whether a Buyer or a Seller
Call for a Free Market Analysis

Lorraine Grifo

RE/Max Realty Plus II

Direct: 863-414-2001

lorrainengrifo@gmail.com

Highlands Tea Party

Meets Every Tuesday 6 to 8 P.M.

Homer's Buffet Restaurant

Mar. 05th – Dr. Rich Swier – Uncontested

Absurdities

Mar. 12th – Don Elwell Review of recent Town

Hall Information

Mar. 19th – Dr. John Prince – Gov. in Health Care

Mar. 24th – Karen Schoen – Knowledge is Power

"We The People"

Meeting every Thursday 6 PM at
Homer's Smorgasbord, a great
place to discuss the topics in this
paper. Come eat first. For more
info call Dale @ 863-658-1280

Table talk with your family



No Phones No TV Just You [Ei]

B-lov-le

Margie Osborne
Hair Dresser
Cell: (863) 214-6836
Shop: (863) 386-5354



Homer's Buffet
Restaurant

1000 Sebring Pkwy, Sebring, FL

863-386-1440

Open 11 AM – 8 PM

Barry Foster Show

730 AM 8:00 – 10:00am

Call In (863) 385 9985

NEWS
730 AM
TALK

Now Streaming Live

www.Newstalk730.com

**Boom Booms Guns and
Ammo LLC**

Jeri Canale - Steve Marabel

330 US 27 North
Sebring, Florida 33870

Phone (863) 402 2112
(Fax 863) 402 0355

Sunshine Alpacas

Home of Content Alpacas

Richard Fankhauser

Owner & Fleece Processor
455 Longwood Rd. Sebring, Fl.
Emailsunshinealpacas@aol.com
863-414-6723

LEISURE LAKES BAPTIST CHURCH

808 GARDENIA STREET

Pastor Don Roberts, phone # 863-243-1125

Continues its Winter Concert Series

Sunday March 3, 2019

The Jacob Brothers from Dillsburg, PA will be with us for the 10:45 AM
Morning Worship Service.

Sunday March 31, 2019

Steve Ditchfield from Sarasota will be with us for the 10:45 AM Morning
Worship Service with a pitch-In-dinner on the grounds after both events.

If you are looking for church where the folks are friendly, the old-time gospel is
preached, the old-fashion hymns are sung you found it, Welcome Home!

Note: Come early to Sunday School. You will enjoy it! Starts at 9:30 AM



JESSICA CARPER
3651 US Hwy 27 Sebring, FL 33870-5476
Office: (863) 385-8959 Fax: (863) 385-8527
www.leisureacres.com Email: Jessica@leisureacres.com

and knowing that Florida is a common law state, I would consider using my rights under the VII Amendment of the Constitution. It says: In suits at common law, where the value in the controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any court of the United States. You can bet that this suit would be much more than twenty dollars to compensate me for my loss.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

THE SYSTEM OR THE PEOPLE?

By Bill Youngman

How could the Sebring Bank Gunman have bought a gun and ammunition days before the shooting if we have a working system to prevent that from happening?

We haven't been told where he bought the gun and ammunition or if there was a background check preformed. Why aren't they giving us this information?

Question: Is the Gun Law Enforcement System we have not working, does it need to be changed, or are the people not following the system?

It has been said that before he was hired as a correction guard, the suspect was put through a background check. It's also been said that the correction institution wasn't getting enough applications to fill the vacancy they had. and he didn't pass the background check with very good marks, but they hired him anyway. The paper told

us about all the problems and treatments the suspect had in Indiana.

Now before I personally retired, my job included hiring employees for my company. Using only what the paper told us, I turned down lots of applicants that looked much better than he did. We weren't required to do a background check or E-Verify, just complete an I-9 form. But I took the information I had and did my own investigation.

This man should not have been allowed to own a firearm, but he was. So somewhere, some thing or someone, failed to prevent him from buying a gun and ammunition. If the rules didn't stop him then we need to know why. Or, if someone didn't follow the rules then they should be held accountable.

Owning and carrying a firearm is OK. The best defense against a bad guy with a gun is a good guy with a gun. If any of the tellers in the bank had been armed and knew how to use their weapon there would have been a much different story to tell.

If I had a loved one killed in this situation,

**HEARTLAND AMERICAN-
ISRAELI INITIATIVE**

Invites You To Our

ANNUAL UNITY BREAKFAST

MARCH 28, Sebring Elks Club,

7:30 a.m., \$10 per person

Rabbi Dr. David Nesenoff

will speak on

ISRAEL in The Age of Trump

Reservations are required by March 21, 2019

Call: 863-314-0422 or email: matromer@aol.com

Hope you join us and enjoy this dynamic speaker!