



Tax Cuts and Jobs Act Becomes Law

By: John Ellerman and Bentham Stradley

Partners

Aubrey Bout
Chris Carstens
John R. Ellerman
John D. England
R. David Fitt
Patrick Haggerty
Jeffrey W. Joyce
Ira T. Kay
Donald S. Kokoskie
Diane Lerner
Joe Mallin
Eric Marquardt
Jack Marsteller
Richard Meisheid
Steve Pakela
Matt Quarles
Lane T. Ringlee
John R. Sinkular
Christine O. Skizas
Bentham W. Stradley
Jon Weinstein

On Friday, President Trump signed into law the most comprehensive overhaul of the U.S. tax code since 1986.

The purpose of this Pay Governance Viewpoint is to provide an overview of the law's key provisions that affect corporate executive compensation programs. In the coming weeks, Pay Governance will write an in-depth series of tax law Viewpoints concerning executive compensation.

Elimination of 162(m) Exemptions for Deductibility of Performance-Based Pay

From an executive compensation perspective, the new law contains several provisions which will substantially impact company approaches, most notably as it relates to compensation deductibility. Key provisions of the new law include:

- Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) (i.e., the "\$1 million pay cap") has been extensively recast. Section 162(m) was added in 1994 and has prohibited companies from deducting taxes for chief executive officer and covered executive compensation in excess of \$1 million unless the payment qualifies for an exemption, often established through performance-based awards. The new tax law treats all compensation in excess of \$1 million to a covered employee as non-deductible to the corporation, including compensation that was previously considered performance-based. Compensation received pursuant to agreements in effect on November 2, 2017 may remain deductible under grandfathering rules.
- In concert with the above, Section 162(m) has been expanded to include the company's principal financial officer as well as extending the deduction limitation to any covered officer for as long as they receive compensation from the company.
- Additionally, Section 162(m) has been expanded to include both foreign private issuing companies as well as corporations with publicly-traded debt.

As mentioned in a related Viewpoint,¹ these changes eliminate any tax benefit to companies for providing performance-based (or stock option) compensation. While this could lead some companies to re-evaluate the relative benefit of these programs, investors are likely to continue pressuring companies to emphasize performance-based pay in their compensation programs.

¹ Bentham W. Stradley and James F. Dickinson. "Tax Cuts and Jobs Act Would Significantly Impact Executive Compensation Arrangements." Pay Governance. November 4, 2017..

Tax Cuts and Jobs Act Becomes Law

Tax on “Excess” Compensation at Tax-Exempt Organizations

In an apparent effort to align with the revised 162(m) deductibility limitations, the tax law imposes a new excise tax on companies for paying an executive in excess of \$1 million per year. The tax law also imposes excise tax penalties on excess severance pay (similar to the Section 280G tax penalties for excessive parachute payments in for-profit companies).

Notable Exclusions from the Final Law

Both original Senate and House bills contained several executive-compensation-related provisions which were not included in the final law, such as:

- Revision of nonqualified deferred compensation rules — As originally drafted, these changes would have had a material impact on nonqualified deferred compensation as well as many forms of equity and performance-based compensation.
- Requirement that the cost basis for all share sales be determined on a first-in-first-out basis — As originally drafted, these changes would have impacted how capital gains taxes are determined, with possible implications for the design and administration of stock compensation plans such as tax-qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plans and Incentive Stock Option awards.

Looking Toward the Future

The final law contains more than 500 pages of text, and its implications and opportunities will require time to fully digest. Over the coming weeks and months, we expect that many companies will explore possible executive compensation changes designed to balance shareholder interests with the new tax law’s requirements and opportunities.

This Viewpoint is intended to inform compensation committees, executives, and compensation professionals about developments that may affect their companies; it should not be relied on as specific company advice or as a substitute for legal, accounting, or other professional advice.

General questions about this Viewpoint can be directed to John Ellerman (john.ellerman@paygovernance.com) or Bentham Stradley (bentham.stradley@paygovernance.com).