



**CPAs & BUSINESS ADVISORS**

# **PHYSICIAN COMPENSATION MODELS IN A CHANGING ENVIRONMENT**

---

**Ralph Llewellyn, CPA, CHFP Partner**  
rllewellyn@eidebailly.com  
701-239-8594

**Michele Olivier, CPC, CPMA, Consultant**  
molivier@eidebailly.com  
303-586-8529

# AGENDA

---

Changing Environment Situation

Different models  
Pros and Cons

Reimbursement and Cost Report  
Considerations

Take aways

Q&A

# **CHANGING ENVIRONMENT SITUATION**

# CHANGING ENVIRONMENT SITUATION

---

Economic center of community  
Largest employer

Reimbursement can be different in each setting, place of service or payer

- Free Standing Clinic

- Free Standing RHC

- Provider Based RHC

- Provider based Clinic

Costs continue to go up

- Patient balances increase

# CHANGING ENVIRONMENT SITUATION

---

Harder to recruit physicians in all markets

Urban

Rural

More difficult to get call coverage/ED in rural settings

Information can be difficult to attain and administer

# CHANGING ENVIRONMENT SITUATION

---

Why should we talk about this now?

Changing reimbursement

Population health

Availability of Physicians

Model for alternative providers

# **MODELS FOR PHYSICIAN/PROVIDER COMPENSATION**

# MODELS

Salary  
Production only



Salary plus production bonus

Salary plus quality bonus

Salary plus administrative function pay



# MODELS

## KNOW YOUR DATA

Only get one shot

Is the data the right data?

Does it make sense?

Is it Accurate?

How are you going to have this conversation with providers?

WHO is going to have the conversation?



# MODELS- SALARY

## Pros

- Easier to Recruit
- Easier to administer
- Less risk of Stark/anti-kick back violations
- Patient Satisfaction
- Used for first year/transition to other models



## Cons

- Less incentive to produce
- Less patients=less revenue
- Can be difficult to get provider engagement

# MODELS- SALARY

## Example

### Data from MGMA-2016 Data- Family Practice- WRVU's, Encounters, Compensation and Your Data

Specialty	All Practice Types- WRVU's								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	88	462	5,020	1,877	2,918	3,793	4,763	6,026	7,650
Family Medicine (without OB)	852	5,833	4,980	1,904	2,850	3,885	4,850	5,947	7,150
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	183	1,140	4,883	1,854	2,857	3,815	4,818	5,810	6,976

Specialty	All Practice Types- Encounters								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	32	188	3,338	1,606	1,682	2,165	3,124	4,260	5,351
Family Medicine (without OB)	190	1,811	3,756	1,986	1,946	2,696	3,495	4,412	5,512
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	35	379	3,732	1,706	1,729	2,609	3,378	4,620	6,234

Specialty	All Practice Types- Total Compensation								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	122	632	\$257,320	\$92,238	\$159,706	\$196,717	\$238,511	\$303,010	\$378,463
Family Medicine (without OB)	1,123	6,948	\$254,734	\$96,504	\$163,608	\$195,987	\$233,770	\$294,416	\$373,010
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	197	1,277	\$247,380	\$98,879	\$160,590	\$191,077	\$228,409	\$281,324	\$359,790

# MODELS- PRODUCTION ONLY

## Pros

Physicians  
incentivized to  
see patients  
Revenue increase  
More  
appointments  
available



## Cons

Quality may suffer  
Harder to recruit  
Patient  
Satisfaction  
Staffing/Costs  
Stark and Anti-  
Kick back risk

# MODELS- PRODUCTION ONLY

## Example

MGMA- 2016 Data Family Practice

Compensation to WRVU, Total WRVU's and Your data

Specialty	All Practice Types- Compensation to Wrvu Ration								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	87	456	\$55.30	\$21.96	\$36.74	\$42.71	\$50.84	\$60.56	\$78.57
Family Medicine (without OB)	849	5,773	\$55.74	\$31.64	\$37.90	\$43.38	\$49.49	\$58.73	\$73.84
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	181	1,129	\$54.68	\$31.57	\$37.88	\$43.78	\$48.53	\$56.20	\$72.26

Specialty	All Practice Types- WRVU's								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	88	462	5,020	1,877	2,918	3,793	4,763	6,026	7,650
Family Medicine (without OB)	852	5,833	4,980	1,904	2,850	3,885	4,850	5,947	7,150
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	183	1,140	4,883	1,854	2,857	3,815	4,818	5,810	6,976

# MODEL- SALARY PLUS PRODUCTION BONUS

## Pros

Incentive  
Appointments  
Easier to recruit  
Revenue increase

## Cons

Quality  
Stark risk  
Administer  
Staffing  
Patient Satisfaction



# MODEL- SALARY PLUS PRODUCTION BONUS

## Example

Specialty	All Practice Types- Total Compensation								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	122	632	\$257,320	\$92,238	\$159,706	\$196,717	\$238,511	\$303,010	\$378,463
Family Medicine (without OB)	1,123	6,948	\$254,734	\$96,504	\$163,608	\$195,987	\$233,770	\$294,416	\$373,010
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	197	1,277	\$247,380	\$98,879	\$160,590	\$191,077	\$228,409	\$281,324	\$359,790

Specialty	All Practice Types- WRVU's								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	88	462	5,020	1,877	2,918	3,793	4,763	6,026	7,650
Family Medicine (without OB)	852	5,833	4,980	1,904	2,850	3,885	4,850	5,947	7,150
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	183	1,140	4,883	1,854	2,857	3,815	4,818	5,810	6,976

Specialty	All Practice Types- Compensation to Wrvu Ration								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	87	456	\$55.30	\$21.96	\$36.74	\$42.71	\$50.84	\$60.56	\$78.57
Family Medicine (without OB)	849	5,773	\$55.74	\$31.64	\$37.90	\$43.38	\$49.49	\$58.73	\$73.84
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	181	1,129	\$54.68	\$31.57	\$37.88	\$43.78	\$48.53	\$56.20	\$72.26

# MODEL- SALARY PLUS PRODUCTION BONUS

## Example Continued

Speciality	Base Salary	Wrvu Threshold		Dollar per WRVU	
		Tier One	Tier Two	Tier One	Tier Two
Family Medicine (without OB)	\$195,987	4,850	5,947	\$49.49	\$58.73

Providers	Base Salary	Total Wrvu's	Wrvu's Over 4850	Paid Tier One	Wrvu's over 5947	Paid Tier Two	Total Compensation
Provider One	\$195,987	7000	2,150	\$106,403.50	1,053	\$61,842.69	\$364,233.19
Provider Two	\$195,987	5000	150	\$7,423.50	0	0	\$203,410.50

FMV Range	Low	Mod	High
	\$195,987	\$233,770	\$373,010



# MODELS- SALARY PLUS QUALITY BONUS

## Pros

Provider input on definition  
Not ALL based on numbers  
Patient Satisfaction  
Aligned with CMS incentives



## Cons

Define Quality  
Need provider input  
Harder to recruit  
Administer  
Need staff by in  
Anti-Kick back risk  
Patient Satisfaction

# MODELS- SALARY PLUS QUALITY BONUS

## Example

Specialty	All Practice Types- Encounters								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	32	188	3,338	1,606	1,682	2,165	3,124	4,260	5,351
Family Medicine (without OB)	190	1,811	3,756	1,986	1,946	2,696	3,495	4,412	5,512
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	35	379	3,732	1,706	1,729	2,609	3,378	4,620	6,234

Specialty	All Practice Types- Total Compensation								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	122	632	\$257,320	\$92,238	\$159,706	\$196,717	\$238,511	\$303,010	\$378,463
Family Medicine (without OB)	1,123	6,948	\$254,734	\$96,504	\$163,608	\$195,987	\$233,770	\$294,416	\$373,010
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	197	1,277	\$247,380	\$98,879	\$160,590	\$191,077	\$228,409	\$281,324	\$359,790

Quality Measure	Threshold Encounters	100%	80%	50%
Blood Pressure	1,682	\$31.65	\$30.29	\$25.45
BMI	1,682	\$31.65	\$30.29	\$25.45
DM pts- Education	1,682	\$31.65	\$30.29	\$25.45
Total		\$94.95	\$90.86	\$76.35

FMV Range	Low	Mod	High
	\$76.35	\$90.86	\$94.95

# MODELS- SALARY PLUS ADMINISTRATIVE PAY

## Pros

- Physician Engagement
- Quality/Risk measures with meaning
- Relationship with Administration/Leadership

## Cons

- Need detailed contract
- Administration
- Audit
- Clinic Time
- Stark/Anti-Kick Back Risk



# MODELS- SALARY PLUS ADMINISTRATIVE PAY

## Example

Specialty									
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine (with OB)	122	632	\$257,320	\$92,238	\$159,706	\$196,717	\$238,511	\$303,010	\$378,463
Family Medicine (without OB)	1,123	6,948	\$254,734	\$96,504	\$163,608	\$195,987	\$233,770	\$294,416	\$373,010
Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work)	197	1,277	\$247,380	\$98,879	\$160,590	\$191,077	\$228,409	\$281,324	\$359,790

Specialty	All Practice Types Medical Directorship Paymeent per hour								
	Group Count	Count	Mean	Std Dev	10th %tile	25th %tile	Median	75th %tile	90th %tile
Family Medicine: All	30	95	\$131.13	\$38.32	\$100.00	\$117.00	\$128.32	\$130.00	\$166.00

Family Medicine: Ambulatory Only (No Inpatient Work) Salary	Low	Mod	High
	\$228,409	\$281,324	\$359,790
Hours Worked	2080	2500	3000
Dollar Per Hour	\$119.93	\$112.53	\$109.81

# MODELS- SALARY PLUS ADMINISTRATIVE PAY

---

## Example

Contract should state exact duties

Quality measures team participation vs. 40 hours spent annually in work directing and participating in the quality measures committee

Supervision of Mid-Level Providers vs. Supervision of 3 min-level providers to include but no limited to 20 hours per quarter in chart review and clinical competency evaluation

# COMMENTS ABOUT COMPENSATION

---

Consistent Methodology

Proof of Contract Review and Audit

Reasons WHY?

Make sure you document

Survey Data

Use it WISELY and Properly

COMMON SENSE FACTOR

Commercially Reasonable

Consider obtaining third party opinion



# **REIMBURSEMENT AND COST REPORT CONSIDERATIONS**

# REIMBURSEMENT CONSIDERATIONS IN CONTRACT LANGUAGE

---

Background

Areas of Concern

Strategies/Examples



# BACKGROUND

---

Contract negotiations/discussions may include many significant details in the construction of a compensation package

- Professional services

  - Clinic

  - Emergency Room

- On-call services

  - Emergency Room

  - Other

- Administrative

  - Hospital

  - Clinics

  - Mid-level Supervision

# BACKGROUND

---

Unfortunately, much of this detail may be lost in the development of the final contract

- Roles and responsibilities

- Compensation for each role and responsibility

Failure to capture this level of detail in the final contract =

- Inability to clearly identify cost assignment by function

- Ability for MAC to create its own assumptions

- Potential for lost reimbursement

# AREAS OF CONCERN

---

Best practice is to proactively document all components of compensation in the contract

- Preserve reimbursement opportunities

- Provide documentation for allocations

- Decrease ability for outside parties to challenge the methodology of cost allocation on the cost report.

# AREAS OF CONCERN – FREESTANDING CLINICS

---

No cost based reimbursement in freestanding clinic

Risk MAC will disallow/limit any allocation of costs out of freestanding clinic

- Concern for CAHs (less important for PPS providers)

  - Emergency Room on-call

  - Hospital administrative functions

# AREAS OF CONCERN – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

---

No cost based reimbursement in provider based clinic for PPS providers

No cost based reimbursement in provider based clinic for professional component for CAH providers

Risk MAC will disallow/limit any allocation of costs out of provider based clinic

- Emergency Room on-call

- Hospital administrative functions

- Clinic administrative functions

# AREAS OF CONCERN – PROVIDER BASED RURAL HEALTH CLINICS

## Provider based rural health clinics

Cost based reimbursement in provider based rural health clinic for professional component

Risk MAC will disallow/limit any allocation of costs in provider based rural health clinic

This is a different issue than in the other clinic settings

Professional costs are allowed

Medicare and Medicaid utilization by department is the opportunity/risk

## Areas

Emergency Room on-call

Hospital administrative functions

Clinic administrative functions

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – FREESTANDING CLINICS

---

Provide documentation of cost and time for:

ER

On-call

Hospital Administrative

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – FREESTANDING CLINICS

Example:

\$25 million 25 bed CAH

FS clinic

RHC

\$300,000 contract with FS clinic provider

\$200,000 Clinic

\$10,000 Medical Director

\$90,000 ER call



# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – FREESTANDING CLINICS

---

Example: - Nothing specified in contract for breakdown of compensation and no records to substantiate an allocation

No reimbursement through the cost report for any of the \$300,000 in cost

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – FREESTANDING CLINICS

Example: - Nothing specified in contract for breakdown of compensation, but an attempt to determine and allocate costs for Medical Director and ER call.

Fortunate to be able to support \$10,000 of Medical Director allocation – \$5,686 reimbursed by Medicare.

Challenges in being able to support an allocation for ER call

How to handle patient care hours in clinic, etc. versus on-call hours?

Open to interpretation and challenge.

Ultimately able to support \$30,000 of direct time in ER, but nothing for call hours - \$0 reimbursement on the cost report.

Additional reimbursement possible from Medicaid – depending on your state methodology.

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – FREESTANDING CLINICS

Example: - Contract has specific breakdown of compensation with ER on-call time studies showing 75% standby time.

\$10,000 of Medical Director allocation – \$5,686 reimbursed by Medicare.

\$90,000 of Emergency Call allocation - \$29,101 reimbursed by Medicare.

Additional reimbursement possible from Medicaid – depending on your state methodology.

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

---

Provide documentation of cost and time for:

ER

On-call

Hospital Administrative

Clinic Administrative

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

Example:

\$18 million 25 bed CAH

PB Clinic

\$320,000 contract with PB clinic provider

\$200,000 Clinic

\$15,000 Medical Director

\$15,000 Clinic Administrative

\$90,000 ER call

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

---

Example: - Nothing specified in contract for breakdown of compensation and no records to substantiate an allocation

No reimbursement through the cost report for any of the \$320,000 in cost

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

Example: - Nothing specified in contract for breakdown of compensation, but an attempt to determine and allocate costs for Medical Director, Clinic Administrative and ER call.

Fortunate to be able to support \$15,000 of Medical Director allocation – \$4,202 reimbursed by Medicare.

No documentation to support \$15,000 of Clinic Administrative allocation - \$0 reimbursed by Medicare.

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

---

Challenges in being able to support an allocation for ER call

How to handle patient care hours in clinic, etc. versus on-call hours?

Open to interpretation and challenge.

Ultimately able to support \$30,000 of direct time in ER, but nothing for call hours - \$0 reimbursement on the cost report.

Additional reimbursement possible from Medicaid – depending on your state methodology.



# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

Example: - Contract has specific breakdown of compensation with ER on-call time studies showing 75% standby time.

\$15,000 Medical Director allocation – \$4,202 reimbursed by Medicare.

\$15,000 of Clinic Administrative allocation - \$2,293 reimbursed by Medicare.

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

---

\$90,000 of Emergency Call allocation - \$23,992 reimbursed by Medicare.

Additional reimbursement possible from Medicaid – depending on your state methodology.

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED RURAL HEALTH CLINICS

---

Provide documentation of cost and time for:

ER

On-call

Hospital Administrative

Clinic Administrative

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED RURAL HEALTH CLINICS

Example:

\$25 million 25 bed CAH

FS clinic

RHC

\$320,000 contract with FS clinic provider

\$200,000 Clinic

\$15,000 Medical Director

\$15,000 Clinic Administrative

\$90,000 ER call

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED RURAL HEALTH CLINICS

Example: - Nothing specified in contract for breakdown of compensation and no records to substantiate an allocation

Cost remains in RHC and Medicare reimburses based on cost report – Remember 80% cost!

No Medicaid impacts

Risk Medicare may determine portion of costs should be offset.  
Medicare would determine the methodology

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED RURAL HEALTH CLINICS

Example: - Nothing specified in contract for breakdown of compensation, but an attempt to determine and allocate costs for Medical Director, Clinic Administrative and ER call.

Fortunate to be able to support \$15,000 of Medical Director allocation – \$4,681 reimbursed by Medicare.

No documentation to support \$15,000 of Clinic Administrative allocation - \$0 reimbursed by Medicare, but may have impact if hit by productivity standard.

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED RURAL HEALTH CLINICS

Challenges in being able to support an allocation for ER call

How to handle patient care hours in clinic, etc. versus on-call hours?

Open to interpretation and challenge.

Ultimately able to support \$30,000 of direct time in ER, but nothing for call hours - \$6,009 reduction in reimbursement on the cost report.

Additional reimbursement impacts possible from Medicaid – depending on your state methodology.

# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED RURAL HEALTH CLINICS

Example: - Contract has specific breakdown of compensation with ER on-call time studies showing 75% standby time.

\$15,000 Medical Director allocation – \$4,681 reimbursed by Medicare.

\$15,000 of Clinic Administrative allocation - \$0 additional reimbursed by Medicare as already in RHC. May be an impact if hit by the productivity standard.



# STRATEGIES/EXAMPLES – PROVIDER BASED CLINICS

---

\$90,000 of Emergency Call allocation - \$5,703 reimbursed by Medicare.

Additional reimbursement possible from Medicaid – depending on your state methodology.

# COST REPORT CONSIDERATIONS

---

Each facility will have different impacts

- Type of clinics

- Size of entity

- Cost structure

- Variety of services

- Payor mix

- Productivity

- Etc.

Do not assume – Identify the potential impacts to determine the specific strategies for your organization.



# TAKE AWAYS

# TAKE AWAYS

---

Know your market

Know your providers

Know your clinic

Know your cost report

**KNOW THE RULES!**

Document, Document, Document

Ask for help when warranted

# QUESTIONS?

---

This presentation is presented with the understanding that the information contained does not constitute legal, accounting or other professional advice. It is not intended to be responsive to any individual situation or concerns, as the contents of this presentation are intended for general information purposes only. Viewers are urged not to act upon the information contained in this presentation without first consulting competent legal, accounting or other professional advice regarding implications of a particular factual situation. Questions and additional information can be submitted to your Eide Bailly representative, or to the presenter of this session.



**CPAs & BUSINESS ADVISORS**

**Ralph Llewellyn, CPA, CHFP Partner**

[rllewellyn@eidebailly.com](mailto:rllewellyn@eidebailly.com)

701-239-8594

**Michele Olivier, CPC, CPMA, Consultant**

[molivier@eidebailly.com](mailto:molivier@eidebailly.com)

303-586-8529

---

**THANK YOU!**