

Quarterly Meeting Evaluation Summary Report
Violence Prevention Policy:
SCY's Policy Agenda Progress and Update for 2017-2018
 November 22, 2016

Training Overview: This meeting will focus on SCY's Policy Agenda progress on our 2015-2016 policy priorities and development of our 2017-2018 focus. A panel of policymakers will open the meeting discussing their policy visions and legislative priorities related to violence prevention and criminal justice. This will be followed by group work to review, revise, and redefine SCY's Policy Agenda for the next two years.

Overall Meeting Participation:

Total Number Registered:	142
Attended:	86 (61%)
Attendees Who Completed Evaluation Form:	23 (27%)

As a result of this meeting, I have a better understanding of SCY's policy principles and priorities:

	Response Tally	Response Percent
Strongly Agree	11	48%
Agree	9	39%
Disagree	2	9%
Strongly Disagree	1	4%

Knowledge and information gained from participation in this event:

	Response Tally	Response Percent
Exceeded My Expectations	5	22%
Met My Expectations	16	70%
Did Not Meet My Expectations	2	8%

Will the knowledge and information gained from participation in this event be useful/applicable to your work?

	Response Tally	Response Percent
Yes	16	70%
Somewhat	6	26%
No	1	4%

Have you attended SCY quarterly meetings, trainings, or other events before?

	Response Tally	Response Percent
No, this is my first	3	13%
Yes, on occasion	12	52%
Yes, on a regular basis	8	35%

How did you hear about this meeting:

	Response Tally	Response Percent
SCY newsletter	11	48%
Email invitation	5	22%
Indirectly through colleague	4	17%
SCY social media	0	0%
SCY website	2	9%
Other	1	4%

Summary of Evaluation Comments:

How can your organization get involved in advancing the policy priorities outlined today?

- Attending meetings, networking & connecting, share resources
- Join Illinois Gun Violence Prevention Coalition
- Incorporation/implementation into advocacy work

How do you think the quarterly meeting (i.e. pre-event communications; registration/check-in; networking opportunity, etc.) could have been improved?

- Conflicting views regarding networking
 - “Networking beforehand was not well communicated and too long”
 - “Network opportunities were valuable”
- Lots of feedback regarding challenges of small group discussions: general feeling of unprepared facilitators, disorganized, not enough time for discussion, too much to accomplish, deliverables too intensive, groups too big
 - “This was my first involvement with SCY and I was confused and overwhelmed by the breakout portion—not enough time to complete in a thoughtful way”
 - “This was a lot to do so quickly, reporting out mid discussion was hard”
 - “The deliverables expected of the group were too intensive considering the time constraints.

Additional comments and suggestions:

- More comments regarding the challenges of the small groups
 - “More time for group discussions or less points to discuss”
 - “Discussion groups were too big”
- Suggestion to provide the policy sheet beforehand to facilitate better group discussion
- Mixed feedback regarding the panel
 - “Panel discussion was good—great actually”
 - “Less talk from key speakers, more of what they have done to improve SCY agenda and policies”
- Suggestion to incorporate electronic polling