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**ATTORNEY GENERAL LAXALT LAUNCHES COALITION OF ATTORNEYS GENERAL OFFICES TO ASSIST LAS VEGAS VICTIMS**

Carson City, NV – Today, Nevada Attorney General Adam Paul Laxalt issued the following statement:

“After reaching out to my fellow attorneys general, I was overwhelmed by the support and immediate offers of assistance to our State. We have launched a coalition of attorneys general offices from around the country to help victims of Sunday’s tragedy. Earlier this week, members of the Florida Attorney General’s Office, including Florida Attorney General Pam Bondi herself, traveled to Las Vegas, and victim advocates from Colorado and Arizona will also be joining our effort today. I appreciate them coming to Nevada and am grateful for their time and insight. I am deeply moved knowing that in times like this, the entire country stands with the city of Las Vegas and the State of Nevada. My heart breaks for those who lost family and friends on Sunday night. The sickening actions of a mad man have changed our city and State forever—but we are stronger than the evil he embodied. Today, we stand together as Nevadans and continue to keep the victims and their families in our prayers.”

In addition to Arizona, Colorado and Florida, other states who have also volunteered their time and resources include: Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Massachusetts, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Virginia and Wisconsin.

**ATTORNEY GENERAL LAXALT WORKS WITH GOFUNDME TO PROTECT NEVADANS FROM SCAMMERS SEEKING TO DIVERT DONATIONS**

Carson City, NV – Today, Nevada Attorney General Adam Paul Laxalt warns Nevadans of scammers forming sham charities and seeking donations in the wake of Sunday’s horrific attack. As a result of the outpouring of monetary support, Attorney General Laxalt and GoFundMe are working to ensure donations meant to help victims of the shooting are actually going to those who need it and not to scammers.

“I warn individuals attempting to donate in the wake of Sunday’s tragedy that there are many illegitimate GoFundMe accounts and sham charities unimaginably trying to profit from this horrific tragedy,” said Attorney General Laxalt. Many are aware of the highly successful GoFundMe account by Sheriff Lombardo and Commissioner Sisolak, among others that are legitimate and valid. I urge Nevadans to visit www.gofundme.com/helplasvegas to ensure each and every donation benefits our survivors and families of innocent victims. My office is working with the crowdsourcing platform to ensure donors’ generosity and good will are not capitalized upon by scammers.”

The Office of the Nevada Attorney General has been working directly with the crowdsourcing platform to ensure that new accounts set up through GoFundMe are used to assist victims and survivors of the shooting. To assist in this endeavor, GoFundMe has set up a direct link for Las Vegas victims: www.gofundme.com/helplasvegas. If a donor has a question or wants to know more about a campaign before making a donation, the donor can reach out to GoFundMe or the campaign organizer directly through the GoFundMe page. If a donor does not receive a response from the campaign organizer, or if the response raises concerns, donors may report the campaign directly to GoFundMe by clicking “Report Campaign” on the GoFundMe campaign page, or report concerns directly to the Office of the Nevada Attorney General’s Bureau of Consumer Protection at (702) 486-3420 or (775) 684-1100.

To best ensure your donation benefits the victims and families of the Las Vegas shooting, no matter the platform you choose to use, the Office of the Nevada Attorney General offers the following suggestions:

· Avoid any charity or fundraiser that asks for donations in cash or via wire transfer. Those that are unable to provide detailed information about their mission or organization and how donations will be used are suspect.

Ask for detailed information about the charity, including name, address and telephone number. Then, conduct some online searches of the charity’s name in combination with the words “complaint” or “scam” to learn about its reputation. Using online resources offered by the Better Business Bureau can also provide assurances about the trustworthiness of any particular charity.

· Review the charity’s financial information or its Form 990. For information on the Form 990, visit the Nevada Secretary of State website. If a charity claims to use your donations to help the local community, contact the local agency and confirm whether the agency is familiar with the charity and receives financial support from it.

Do not feel pressured into making an immediate donation by telephone or in person. The need for donations and the opportunity to give will be present for some time, and legitimate charities will not pressure you into making an immediate donation.

Avoiding making checks payable to individuals. Also, avoid providing credit card, bank account or social security number information over the phone.

When texting to donate, confirm the number with the source before you donate. The charge will show up on your mobile phone bill, but donations charges are not immediate.

Be cautious of unsolicited charitable e-mails and attachments. An unsolicited e-mail is likely part of a scam, and any attachments may have a virus designed to steal financial or other personal information from your computer.

Social media sites can also perpetuate scams. As with any other charity, take time to investigate the people behind any social media campaigns to ensure they represent a legitimate organization. Some sites, such as GoFundMe, take affirmative steps to ensure fundraising campaigns are vetted, donations are verified and complaints can be made to protect donors.

Be wary of sound-alike names. Many sham charities intentionally use names that are easily confused with legitimate, respected charities.

Nevada consumers can file complaints regarding fraudulent charities with the Nevada Attorney General’s office. In addition, the FTC provides complaint assistance for anyone who suspects that a scammer is disguising itself as a charity.

**AG HEALEY REACHES AGREEMENT WITH OPIOID MAKER OVER WIDESPREAD MARKETING SCHEME TO INCREASE FENTANYL SALES**

Drug Manufacturer Illegally Marketed Powerful Painkiller; Paid and Disguised Kickbacks to Providers

BOSTON – An opioid manufacturer will pay $500,000 to resolve allegations that it engaged in a widespread scheme to unlawfully market its fentanyl spray and paid kickbacks to providers to persuade them to prescribe the product, Attorney General Maura Healey announced today.

The consent judgment entered today in Suffolk Superior Court resolves allegations that Insys Therapeutics, Inc. misleadingly marketed Subsys, a narcotic fentanyl product that is sprayed under a patient’s tongue. Fentanyl, a synthetic opioid, is estimated to be 50 to 100 times more potent than morphine and 30 to 50 times more powerful than heroin.

“Fentanyl is a powerful and highly addictive drug with deadly consequences, yet this opioid maker aggressively marketed its product and made illegal payments to providers to boost sales,” said AG Healey. “Drug companies cannot endanger the health and safety of patients to increase their own profits.”

The AG’s Office began an investigation into Insys’ marketing of Subsys in 2014.

The investigation revealed that between 2012 and 2014, Insys marketed the product for use in treating pain in non-cancer patients, even though there is no evidence that Subsys is safe and effective for such use and the FDA had approved the product only for use in cancer patients. The company also made misleading statements that Subsys was appropriate to treat “mild” pain, including citing a study that specifically states that it is only appropriate for “moderate to severe” breakthrough pain in cancer patients.

Insys’ compensation system also incentivized its sales representatives to aggressively market Subsys for any and all potential uses regardless of the safety or efficacy of such use.

The AG’s investigation revealed that the company paid kickbacks to clinicians to induce them to prescribe Subsys and disguised the kickbacks as fees paid to speak to other health care providers about the product.

The settlement money will help fund the AG’s prevention, education and treatment efforts. In addition to the payment to the AG’s Office, the settlement requires Insys to ensure that its marketing and promotional practices do not unlawfully promote Subsys and that its employees do not pay kickbacks to physicians or other prescribers.

This matter was handled by Assistant Attorney General Eric Gold, Chief of AG Healey’s Health Care Division and Assistant Attorney General Michael Wong, also of the AG’s Health Care Division.

**AG SCHIMEL HOLDS FIRST TASK FORCE ON ELDER ABUSE MEETING; ANNOUNCES “A DOSE OF REALITY” FOR SENIORS AND CAREGIVERS**

MADISON, Wis. – Today, Attorney General Brad Schimel held the first meeting of the Attorney General’s Task Force on Elder Abuse and is offering a Dose of Reality to seniors and their caregivers on the dangers of misusing opioid and narcotic pain medications.

“Here’s a dose of reality: just last year, more than 4,000 Wisconsin residents aged 55 or older were hospitalized for opioid dependence or prescription opioid poisoning[1],” said Attorney General Schimel. “As this task force works together to protect Wisconsin’s growing senior population from abuse and exploitation, we are already deploying important messages to seniors and caregivers about how to protect themselves from accidental overdose and becoming targets of those struggling with addiction.”

“As Wisconsin’s aging population grows, we want to make sure older residents avoid the injury and suffering that can come from misusing medications, or from being abused or neglected,” said DHS Secretary Linda Seemeyer. “DHS looks forward to working with the Department of Justice and our other partners on these important issues.”

Dose of Reality, is a statewide prevention campaign designed to raise awareness about prescription drug abuse and its effect on the opioid epidemic. The campaign was launched in September 2015, and has prevention messages for the medical community, students, coaches, parents, educators, and employers. This new message for seniors and caregivers, which was created through a partnership with the Wisconsin Department of Health Services (DHS), will include information and resources unique to seniors and caregivers, including posters and flyers for senior centers and elder healthcare facilities. Anyone can download these free materials and share with their community at doseofrealitywi.gov/program-materials.

The Attorney General’s Task Force on Elder Abuse, which was announced in August 2017, met for the first time on October 9. The task force discussed how prosecutors, protective services, law enforcement, and long term care services come into contact with elders experiencing abuse, and how these groups can work together in the future.

The task force is charged with compiling the resources and knowledge of a multi-disciplinary team of professionals to study the impact of elder abuse in Wisconsin and assess ways to improve outcomes for this growing population of citizens. In addition to developing strategies to address barriers in investigation and prosecutions of elder abuse, the task force will strengthen consumer protection for seniors and create recommendations for improved cross-system communications.

To report suspected financial, physical, emotional, or sexual abuse, please contact your county elder adult-at-risk agency. If you witness an act of abuse, neglect, or exploitation that requires immediate attention, please call 911.

**AG REYES REQUESTS ADEQUATE REMEDIAL STEPS FROM EQUIFAX**

SALT LAKE CITY - Today, Attorney General Sean Reyes released a letter he signed with 43 other attorneys general expressing concerns and requesting compliance from Equifax that will provide greater transparency and protect consumers.

The letter notes that, at the request of the attorneys general, Equifax made its offer of free credit monitoring services more prominent so that it can more easily be found by consumers. The letter then demands that Equifax take the following actions:

Disable links to the Equifax fee-based credit monitoring services until the sign-up period for free credit monitoring services has ended.

Extend the sign-up period for free credit monitoring services to at least January 31, 2018.

Take steps to reimburse consumers who incur fees to completely freeze their credit by enrolling in security freeze services from Equifax, Experian and TransUnion.

Make the Equifax call center number more prominently visible on Equifax websites and ensure the hotline is adequately staffed 24 hours a day to create shorter wait times.

Notify the attorneys general about communications made to impacted consumers regarding their affected information and privacy.

AG Reyes issued the following statement, in conjunction with the release of the letter:

“This may be the largest and most damaging breach in our nation's history; one that has affected 143 million U.S residents. Utah residents need all the information and tools available to help them mitigate the damage. To do this, Equifax must be transparent and accountable.

At this point in the crisis, they need to offer clear and concise steps so consumers can protect their information. We believe Equifax should not be profiting from their breach by continuing to offer a fee-based credit monitoring product, neither should they be charging consumers to freeze their credit.

I stand with an overwhelming majority of our sister states in calling for greater responsiveness and transparency from Equifax. Consumers need a clear path to protect data that may have been compromised by the breach.

Cyber security, identity protection and data protection have been and will remain top priorities for the Utah Attorney General's Office."

The multi-state investigation began immediately upon Equifax formally announcing the data breach. From the outset, the Utah Attorney General's Office has been working alongside other states to discern the best course of action to protect residents. That includes assisting current victims and preventing future incidents of information loss.

**IN WAKE OF EQUIFAX DATA BREACH, ATTORNEY GENERAL BECERRA URGES CREDIT AGENCIES TO PROVIDE FREE CREDIT FREEZES**

SACRAMENTO – In the wake of Equifax’s massive data breach, California Attorney General Xavier Becerra today urged the nation’s credit reporting agencies to provide free credit freezes for all consumers. Credit freezes allow consumers to protect their personal information from fraud and identity theft. While Equifax has waived its fee, TransUnion and Experian have not.

“It’s time the credit reporting agencies put people over profits,” said Attorney General Becerra. “The Equifax data breach left millions of Californians’ personal information vulnerable. The credit reporting agencies are supposed to protect consumers, banks, and lenders alike from identity theft and fraudulent activity. Experian and TransUnion should waive their fees for security freezes to ensure that credit reports and the information contained therein remain accurate and secure.”

The Equifax data breach impacted 145.5 million Americans and more than 15 million Californians Equifax is one of the nation’s three major credit reporting agencies. According to Equifax, the breach lasted from mid-May through July, and compromised names, Social Security numbers, birth dates, addresses and, in some instances, driver’s license numbers.

To find out if you have been impacted by the Equifax data breach, please visit: https://www.equifaxsecurity2017.com/potential-impact/. If using Wi-Fi, ensure a secure Wi-Fi connection before submitting personal information.

To post a fraud alert on your credit file, you must contact one of the three major credit reporting agencies below. If you contact any one of the three major credit reporting agencies, fraud alerts will be automatically added by the other two agencies as well.

Experian 1-888-397-3742

experian.com/fraud/center.html

TransUnion 1-800-680-7289

transunion.com/fraud

Equifax 1-888-766-0008

alerts.equifax.com

**TRUMP ADMINISTRATION SUES CHAMORRO LAND TRUST COMMISSION**

By Janela Carrera

The Department of Justice is alleging the CLTC is discriminating against non-Chamorros.

Guam – The federal government is suing the Chamorro Land Trust Commission, accusing the agency of discriminating against non-Chamorros because their land program only allows for native inhabitants to receive land from GovGuam.

The U.S. Government is calling GovGuam and the Chamorro Land Trust Commission’s actions “intentional, willful and taken in disregard for the rights of others.”

Specifically, they are referring to the Chamorro Land Trust Act which was created to administer the return of unused and unreserved land back to Chamorros.

GovGuam has in its inventory about 20,000 acres of land which makes up about 15 percent of the island’s total land mass. Eligible applicants are granted 99-year residential leases for one-acre tracts at a cost of just $1 a year.

The CLTC’s core mission is to “advance the social, cultural and economic development and well-being of the Chamorro people by way of residential, agricultural and commercial land distribution and economic assistance programs.”

But the U.S. Government says this is a form of discrimination. In their lawsuit, Housing and Civil Enforcement Section attorneys argue that because Guam is a U.S. territory established through the Organic Act of Guam, it must adhere to U.S. Fair Housing Act regulations. Part of that includes “no discrimination shall be made in Guam against any person on account of race.”

The lawsuit points to a similar program in Hawaii called the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act which also reserves a portion of its land for native Hawaiians. The U.S. government says that while Guam has analogized the CLTC to Hawaii’s HHCA, there is a fundamental difference:

“The HHCA was enacted by Congress pursuant to its Indian affairs powers to provide benefits to a Native community,” the lawsuit states.

“By contrast, the CLTA was neither enacted by Congress nor implemented pursuant to authority established by Congress,” court papers add.

And because the Chamorro people have not been recognized by Congress as a tribe or nation nor adopted, enacted or ratified the CLTA, according to the U.S. government, the local government should be enjoined from further refusing to rent or lease land to non-Chamorros.

But it appears that at least one point in time, the Chamorro people did appeal to Congress to give them more autonomy in deciding what to do with their public lands.

The lawsuit cites a time in history before the Organic Act was established when “representatives from Guam urged Congress to permit future local laws designed to ‘protect the lands and business enterprises of persons of Guamanian ancestry,’ but Congress rebuffed the idea.”

We spoke with Department of Land Management Director Mike Borja who said he could not comment on the lawsuit as he was not aware that a lawsuit had been filed against the CLTC.

**CLTC MEETS BRIEFLY WITH A.G. ABOUT U.S. D.O.J. LAWSUIT**

By Clynt Ridgell

Guam – The Chamorro Land Trust Commission met briefly during a special meeting held to discuss the lawsuit the U.S. Department of Justice filed against the CLTC alleging a violation of the fair housing act.

The U.S. DOJ is claiming that by leasing lands to Chamorros the CLTC is practicing racial discrimination and is violating the Fair Housing Act. Today the Chamorro Land Trust Commission held a brief special meeting to discuss the lawsuit the DOJ filed against GovGuam and the CLTC.

Attorney General Elizabeth Barret Andersen will be representing the CLTC in the lawsuit. “The board has been sued in its official capacity no members of the board have been sued in their personal capacity. The Attorney General’s office will represent the commission and the government of Guam in their response which is due shortly,” said the A.G.

The answer to the complaint is due on October 19th. The Attorney General told the CLTC that she will discuss the lawsuit with them in more detail but it would have to be in an executive session which requires public notice and a court reporter among other things.

In the meantime, CLTC Administrator Mike Borja says they will continue to conduct their business as usual. “The Chamorro Land Trust is still, we have a number of applicants that we are still gonna be working with. You can still submit your applications as well. We have a number of lessees who will continue to work with on a regular basis and deal with them and compliance and enforcement side of the house as far as getting utilities so we’re gonna still do the day to day work on those kinds of things,” said Borja.

CLTC Chairwoman Pika Fejeran says this suit is something they’ve been preparing for. “Yeah, you know Clynt, I’d say I’ve been bracing myself for this. I’ve been waiting for this to come out since we got the first letter. I want to say back in March. And I mean it’s here all we can do is move forward. I have full faith in our A.G. and the team she has and we’re gonna work right alongside them,” said Fejeran.

There is no word yet on when exactly an executive session will be called to discuss the matter further with the A.G. but the A.G. did say it must be done soon.

**DESPITE “UPHILL BATTLE” A.G. BARRETT-ANDERSON WILL TAKE CLTC CASE TO SUPREME COURT IF NEEDED**

By Clynt Ridgell –

Attorney General Elizabeth Barret-Anderson will be representing GovGuam and the Chamorro Land Trust Commission in the lawsuit filed by the U.S. Department of Justice that alleges that the Chamorro Land Trust violates the Fair Housing Act. Today the A.G. spoke to PNC about the case and the chances Guam has at winning it.

Guam – “Do you think Guam has a good case?” asked PNC. “That’s a hard question to say. A good case, legally a good case. Do we have a sound legal argument? Maybe if I can answer it that way. Yes. I believe we can make a sound legal argument,” said the A.G.

And that legal argument is related to arguments used to preserve Native American lands. “That was part of the argument 26 years ago and 26 years ago that discussion of the Indian tribes and the recognition by congress to preserve Indian tribes by enacting laws that discriminate such as you cannot fish or hunt in tribal lands. Those arguments started to be developed 26 years ago and they are so well developed today and so those are some of the arguments that we will put forth in this concept that the Chamorro people the Chamorro culture needs to be preserved,” said Barret-Anderson.

However, the Attorney General admits it won’t be easy. “It is an uphill battle Clynt, and I understand that but we can’t just stop here.” The Attorney General says she has the experience for the job.

26 years ago, as the appointed Attorney General under Governor Joseph Ada she actually fought against the Chamorro Land Trust saying it was discriminatory and unconstitutional but she lost and Speaker B.J. Cruz who was a judge at the time ruled that the Chamorro Land Trust Act was constitutional and valid. She accepted the decision and then as a Senator in the mid 90’s she actually helped to place lands into the trust in order to get the trust started. Now she is defending the Chamorro Land Trust as Guam’s elected Attorney General. “So, I’ve come full robin and now I’m at position of defense of the act. So, challenge of the act, implementation of the act and now defense of the act,” said Barret-Anderson.

“Clynt, what I’m gonna try to do in this lawsuit is defend this law which has been held valid under Guam law and to make the record in the District Court against the federal government’s arguments and take that record if we are not successful there and take it up to the 9th circuit and make that record in the 9th circuit. And if we are not successful in the 9th circuit and take that record on to the Supreme Court of the United States,” said the A.G.

Guam’s response to the suit is due on the 19th which is Thursday of next week.

**AG PAXTON SECURES PERMANENT INJUNCTION HALTING DANGEROUS SYNTHETIC DRUG SALES IN DALLAS**

AUSTIN – Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton announced a permanent injunction and agreed final judgment of $40,000 against Dallas convenience store Hi Flamez and its owner, Mohamed Bakr, to stop the sale of dangerous synthetic cannabinoids. Hi Flamez was accused in January of violating the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act by selling synthetic pot to customers without informing them that the substances are both illegal and potentially hazardous to their health.

While conducting several undercover buys of synthetic pot from Hi Flamez, officers from the Narcotics Division of the Dallas Police Department found dozens of packages labeled as Scooby Snax, White Tiger, and Kush. All packages tested positive for synthetic cannabinoids, but neglected to disclose that the products’ ingredients included toxic synthetic chemicals with side effects including severe paranoia, psychotic episodes, violent delusions, kidney damage, suicidal thoughts and self-mutilation.

“My office will not stand by as the health and safety of Texans is endangered by drug peddlers looking for a quick buck,” Attorney General Paxton said. “The sale of dangerous, deadly drugs must be put to a stop. Businesses that sell synthetic drugs will not escape punishment.”

While synthetic drug packages may include a claim that the product is “legal for sale in all 50 states,” it is against the law in Texas to manufacture, deliver or possess a synthetic cannabinoid. Under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, manufacturers and distributors of synthetic cannabinoids can face civil penalties of up to $20,000 per violation. Since 2016, the Office of the Attorney General has achieved six judgments against persons and companies that marketed synthetic pot, either by trial or settlement, amounting in awards totaling more than $5 million. For more information, visit the attorney general office’s synthetic drugs section here: http://bit.ly/2fBhXUp.

To view a copy of the permanent injunction and final judgment click here: http://bit.ly/2ys5I7w

**AG MARSHALL ANNOUNCES MULTIPLE LAWSUITS TO ENFORCE STATE LAW BANNING ILLEGAL GAMBLING**

(MONTGOMERY)— Attorney General Steve Marshall announced Wednesday the filing of multiple lawsuits against casinos in five counties that continue to operate illegal slot machines, as so-called “electronic bingo,” in defiance of state law. The lawsuits call upon local circuit courts to prohibit the defendants from promoting, operating and transporting so-called "electronic bingo" machines and slot machines in those counties. The State also filed motions requesting that the circuit courts grant preliminary injunctions to cease unlawful gambling operations in these counties while the lawsuits are pending.

The civil lawsuits were filed in Greene, Houston, Lowndes, Macon and Morgan counties against the operating casinos, machine manufacturers and vendors, and the governmental authorities responsible for licensing and overseeing electronic bingo operations in those counties.

“It is the responsibility of the Attorney General to ensure that Alabama’s laws are enforced, including those laws that prohibit illegal gambling,” said Attorney General Steve Marshall. “Through multiple rulings in recent years, the Alabama Supreme Court has made it abundantly clear that electronic bingo and the use of slot machines are illegal in all Alabama counties. Therefore, we have taken action to hold accountable those who defy the laws of our state. These lawsuits represent a comprehensive legal approach developed by the Attorney General, with the assistance of the Office’s career experts, to finally put a stop to illegal gambling.”

Since assuming office in February, Attorney General Marshall has continued to assist other agencies and district attorneys in the enforcement of anti-gambling laws in Alabama. The multi-county lawsuits filed today are the culmination of ongoing investigations into these casinos and gambling ventures around the state. The civil complaints call for the closure of the casinos because the illegal gambling they offer presents legal nuisances in the state.

The State has pursued numerous cases over the past five years to bring clarity and enforcement to the anti-gambling laws of the state. In each of these cases, the courts of the state have repeatedly determined that the game of bingo cannot be played on electronic devices, and slot machines are clearly illegal in the state.

The most significant cases include the following:

State v. Anchor Club, et al., CV-2011-900213 (Jefferson Co. Circuit Court) – the trial court granted summary judgment in favor of the State because the devices were illegal slot machines

State v. Southern Star, CV-2012-900053(Lowndes Co. Circuit Court, 2013) – the trial court granted summary judgment in favor of the State as machines cannot play the game of bingo in Lowndes County

Ex parte State, 121 So. 3d 337 (Ala. 2013) – the Supreme Court ordered that the Macon County Circuit Court issue a search warrant for illegal gambling devices at VictoryLand because the machines did not appear to play bingo and were slot machines

State v. Greenetrack, Inc., 154 So. 3d 940 (Ala. 2014) – the Supreme Court ruled that games of bingo in Greene County must meet all Cornerstone requirements and a machine cannot play the entire game, ordered the trial court to issue a search warrant for gambling machines in Greene County

HEDA v. State, 168 So. 3d 4 (Ala. 2014) – the Supreme Court upheld the Houston County Circuit Court’s determination that machines do not play the game of bingo

State v. $223,405.86 et al., 203 So. 3d 816 (Ala. 2016) – the Supreme Court found that bingo cannot be played on machines in Macon County, contrary to the trial court’s ruling and ordered the condemnation of all seized devices and money from at VictoryLand

State v. $191,249.11 et al., CV-2014-900041 (Greene Co. Circuit Court, 2016) – the trial court held that the sized devices, records and currency from Frontier Bingo in Greene County were condemned and forfeited as illegal gambling devices

State v. Choctaw Entertainment Center, et al., CV-2013-903288 (Mobile Co. Circuit Court, 2017) – the trial court held that bingo could not be played on electronic devices in Mobile County

State v. 825 Electronic Gambling devices, et al., --- So. 3d ---- (Ala. 2016) – The Supreme Court held that machines could not be used to play bingo in Greene County and overturned the trial court’s erroneous ruling

State v. $1,077.00 et al., CV-2016-903396 (Jefferson Co. Circuit Court, 2017) – the trial court found that the games played at Bid City in Jefferson County were illegal slot machines and condemned them