

Sept.

Answer: 2

O.C.G.A § 24-9-901(a):

The requirement of authentication or identification as a condition precedent to admissibility shall be satisfied by evidence **sufficient to support a finding** that the matter in question is what its proponent claims.

“While direct evidence is not required to authenticate a text message or email, most jurisdiction require something more than just confirmation that the number or email address belonged to a particular person.” *State v. Koch*, 334 P.3d 280 (Idaho 2014).

Hollis v. State, 298 Ga.App. 1 (2009)

“Though the email transmission in question appears to have come from P.M’s email address, the does not prove genuineness.

“Self-identification plus”

E.g., Husband printed the “headers” on the email which show the email came from a computer with an IP address assigned to Pierre LeGrand.

Looking for any and all “clues” that point to the authenticity of the communication...